# DEPARTMENT **PRACTICE**

### A DESIGN PROPOSAL BY DELOVAN DELAWER

Complex 1 at Középtanszék - BME Consultant: Dr. Schrammel **Zoltan** and Mr. Gredics **Guyla** 

Budapest University of Technology and Economics F a c u l t y o f A r c h i t e c t u r e **Department of Public Building Design** This document was created for the department of Public Building Design at the Architecture Faculty, consulted by Dr. Schrammel **Zoltan** and Mr. Gredic: **Guyla**  Harmashatar-hegy Airfield Redevelopment





### HARMASHATAR HEGY AIRFIELD **REDEVELOPMENT - BUDAPEST**

Project Description: The function of the project is to serve the Airfield of Harmashatar Hegy, and its gliding purposes, the design proposal of Complex 1 is composed of two existing Hangars, that are to be renovated and remodeled, and adding two masses to serve the purpose of housing the program that is composed of entertainment, relaxation, and administration spaces to the visitors and the project's and/ or site's users. The Activities of entertainment and relaxation is housed by spaces of restaurants, cafes, small pools, sauna, showers, changing rooms, resting area, congregation areas, lobbies, offices, workshops, lecture halls and storages.

The hill as named after being within the Borders of Three Mountains, which historically was the meeting point of the formation of the city of Budapest, as each hill of the three came out from a different county and region, of which one was of Buda, the second was of Óbuda and the third is of the Pest County, which the three met at this point of land in the 19th century. So to celebrate this site value of the location, the main site composed a fractional representation of these three hills to house the activites of Entertainment, Spa, Workshops and their services, and definitely emphasize the site's main potential activity, the Harmashatarhegy Airfield itself, and its aviation purposes.

The site being worked on has a very good topography. A flat airfield, low hills, and moderatly high hills make a great composition of nature. So many people use the site for walking their dogs, hiking, picnic, biking, paragliding ... etc

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS 04 1. HAR INTRO 06 2. INTRODUCTION ERRAIN + ARCHITECTURAL ANALYSIS + EXTENDED SITE STUDIES + FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS -3. HAR COMPLEX DESIGN CONCEP 48 4. TECHNICAL INFORMATION OF HAR 104 116 5. ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS 152 6. STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS ANNEX A: ENERGETICS STUDY BREIF 174 ANNEX B: BUILDING CONSTRUCTION STUDY BREIF 196 ANNEX C: STRUCTURE DPT. STUDY BREIF 233 ANNEX D: PROJECT MANAGEMENT STUDY BREIF 240 ANNEX E: HAR SUBMISSIONS HIGHLIGHTS 264 REFERENCES 266



### HAR (HARMASHATAR-HEGY AIRFIELD REDEVELOPMENT) BUDAPEST, 1028, HUNGARY

**Delovan Delawer** 

Budapest, 1037, Hungary

Középtanszék - BME

Dr. Schrammel Zoltan Mr. Zoltán Rostás

Mr. Zoltán Magyar

Dr. Sajtos István

Dr. Fülöp Zsuzsanna



### THE MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF HAR

Two existing hangars are renovated and remodeled, and two new masses have been added from a total of three, of which the latter is to be for a future extention. The two new masses are brick-cladded buildings of 500 sqm and 700 sqm consequentively sitting on the southern-

east side of the 7,500 sqm plot, where it is a bit familiar in the region, to have less building footprint in a site that is on a forest land or a natural side, and that somhow was the reason to build there, due to the existing infrastructure, to cut short any building on a virgin land, as to promote the environmental atmosphere of the site and promote the great open air landscape that is on site, where the HAR celebrates a 5200 sqm of landscape which makes up around 70% of the site, whereas the functions of the buildings are devided on three and two floors, respectively, to use up to an equal of around 60% of net area of the site with only a 16% footprint (of the four buildings combined, and it is noteworthy that the building are to be erected on an existing infrastructure).

The HAR complex is mostly occupied by entertainment and spa facilities in building D and offices and a commercials in building C and ofcourse the dedicated service spaces such as electrical room, mechanical rooms and dedicated storages.

HAR CONFORMS NOT ONLY TO THE HARMASHATARHEGY, BUT TO ITS ENVIRONMENT, AND TO THE NEEDS OF THE PEOPLE FROM THE HILLY SECOND DISTRICT TOO.

### **FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION**

The function of the project is to serve the Airfield of Harmashatar Hegy, and its gliding purposes, the design proposal of Complex 1 is composed of two existing Hangars, that are to be renovated and remodeled, and adding two masses to serve the purposes of entertainment, relaxation, and administration to the visitors and the project's and/or site's users. The Activities of entertainment and relaxation is housed by spaces of restaurants, cafes, small pools, sauna, showers, changing rooms, resting area, congregation areas, lobbies offices, workshops, lecture halls and storages.



### **INTRODUCTION (LOCATION AND HISTORY BREIF)** HAR (HARMASHATAR-HEGY AIRFIELD REDEVELOPMENT)

# 2

The HAR (Harmashatarhegy Airfield Redevelopment) project is to be proposed for the Harmashatar Hegy of District Two on the Buda (Western) side of the Hungarian Capital, Budapest, 1028. at the coordinates of ( 47°29'24.1"N 19°03'29.4"E )

Hármashatár-hegy means (Three Border Mountain) is the name of a mountain in the city of Budapest, Hungary. Its name comes from the fact that the borders of 3 cities (Buda, Óbuda, Pesthidegkút) met at this point in the 19th century. Today, these cities have merged into Budapest, but the mountain's name has remained unchanged. However, the border between the II and III districts still bisects the mountain. The mountain is located on the Buda side, at 495m above sea level. The mountain is a popular place for hiking, biking and paragliding. In the winter it is also a place for sled riding. There are several trails in the area, but the only one that reaches the top is the blue trail, also known as the Country Blue Trail (Az Országos Kéktúra). At the summit there are television and radio towers, as well as a restaurant. It is often windy at the peak, due to the lack of trees. Snow may linger up to two weeks after the snow in the city has melted. On average, the temperature is a couple of degrees Celsius less than on the Pest side.

The non-public Hármashatárhegy Airport (ICAO code: LHHH) has a single 1000 x 100 m runway and serves the Budapest-Hármashatárhegy region.

Now the hills history is out of the way, a quick glimse of the city's history to be followed. Budapest, the capital of Hungary, was created in 1873 by the merger of three urban communities: Buda, Óbuda and Pest. It is the regulatory, social, logical, monetary, exchange and transportation focus with around 2 million occupants.





The town spreads on the banks of the waterway Danube, and is authoritatively separated into 23 regions, 16 of which are situated on the Pest side, 6 in Buda and 1 on Csepel Island in Danube. Buda reaches out to the slopes on the west bank of the Danube and Pest on the left bank of the waterway in the marshes. In Buda, the 235 m high slope (Gellért-hegy) ascends from the waterway bank and offers an exceptional perspective in general city. There are 7 scaffolds and 2 railroad extensions In Budapest. Aside from one extension (Erzsébet híd), all scaffolds were demolished amid the Second World War were reestablished to the first style.

### HARMASHATAR-HEGY HISTORY

Hármashatárhegy Airport is one of Budapest's sports airports . Budapest II. It is located on the border of the town called Kővár and the part of the historical Pesthidegkút in the district of Haryar . It was named after the neighboring Hármashatár hill , which is well known to the Budapest residents for the Kővár hill .

Hármashatárhegy's name originates from the way that the outskirts of 3 urban areas (Buda, Óbuda, Pesthidegkút) met now in the nineteenth century. Today, these urban communities have converged into Budapest, however the mountain's name has stayed unaltered. In any case, the fringe between the II and III districts in Budapdest still cuts up the mountain. Hármashatár-hegy is a piece of the Buda Hills (Budai Hegyek), at 495 m (1623 ft) above ocean level. The mountain is a well known spot for climbing, biking and paragliding. In the winter it is likewise a spot for sled riding. There are a few trails in the region, yet the one in particular that achieves the top is the blue trail, otherwise called the Country Blue Trail (Az Országos Kéktúra). At the summit there are TV and radio towers, just as an eatery. Usually breezy at the top, because of the absence of trees. Snow may wait as long as about fourteen days after the snow in the city has softened. All things considered, the temperature is two or three degrees Celsius not exactly on the Pest side. The non-open Hármashatárhegy Airport (ICAO code: LHHH) has a solitary 1000 x 100 m runway and serves the Budapest-Hármashatárhegy area. The area is a popular tourist destination, and the airport is often visited by hikers.

Only VFR can be continued at the airport. Non-public airport, motorboat take-offs can only be completed on runway 31, 50m / 150 ft with a higher right-hand bend (~ 340 °) to the right of the line. Motorcycle can not fly a school circle. For runway 13 landing, turn round 4 at 250m / 800ft. For track 31, landing can only take place from the left. The gliders can fly in the upward direction in the 13th direction only in the left ascending direction 31, only to have a better school round. It is forbidden to take off on the 13th level by motorized aircraft. Strong sailboat, paraglider and footbridge movement possible, motorized aircraft training cannot be continued. The airport may be used with the permission of the owner or the keeper, except for aircraft in emergency.

In the landing direction 31, the track slopes down to  $1.5^{\circ}$  to the center of the track. Transverse slope: 2.5° in the 030° direction. Due to BUD TMA, the airport's airspace is limited. And is not open to the public. The airport is operated by the University of Applied Sciences.

In June 2014, the National Transport Authority withdrew the operating license of Hármashatárhegy Airport.





### BROAD SITE ANALYSIS CLIMATE, NOISE, SOIL AND TERRAIN

### CLIMATE

To summerize this chapter's graphs that are to be followed in pin points:

- Temperature in this area rises the most during July and August. In January and February it gets the coldest.

- Rainfall in July is the highest as it reacher 58.6 millimeters.

- As summer starts, days get longer and nights shortet, in June there is 16 hours of daylight on the site.



The (red line) demonstrates the most extreme temperature of a normal day for consistently for Budapest. Similarly (blue line) demonstrates the lowest temperature. Hot days and cold evenings (dashed red and blue lines) demonstrate the normal of the most sweltering day and coldest night of every long stretch of the most recent 30 years.

The graph shows the monthly number of sunny, partly cloudy, overcast and precipitation days. Days with less than 20% cloud cover are considered as sunny, with 20-80% cloud cover as partly cloudy and with more than 80% as overcast.

- · Cold nights -- Wind speed





The (precipitation amount) diagram for Budapest shows on how many days per month, certain precipitation amounts are reached. In tropical and monsoon climates, the amounts may be underestimated, it can be seen here that Budapest is mostly a dry city (black line) snowy days.





The diagram on the left illustrates (maximum temperatures) showing how many days per month reaches a certain temperature.

The diagram shows the days per month, during which the wind reaches a certain speed in Budapest.

>50

>38

Winter Solar Study



SSI

0 0 >1

>61 km/h

>5

>12

>19



### SOURCES OF NOISE

The HAR project is to be located in Harmashatar Hegy, which is at the remote district 2 of Budapest, which is at a huge open-air sport airfield, the site is remoted from traffic, so cars and transporation conjestion is not on the noise source list of the proposed project. The higher source of noise could be the gliders, and their audiences at the time of gliding and air contest events, and all you could hear on ordinary days is the mainstream natural sounds such as the windy blows and birds tweets and so on, if that is considered as noise, which is definitely not. And due to the minimized pedestrian and visitors crowds there is a complete low noise sourced out from them. Noise wise, it could be described as a pretty quite area unless there is an airfield event.

WNM

WSW

SSW

Summer Solar Study

N

1000

### SOIL PROPERTIES AND TERRAIN

The soil in this site and generally in this region has an agricultural productivity value of 31-41%, the soil productivity expresses naturally fertility percentage of most fertile soil. Also the soil here has coarse texture. The soil in Harmashatarhegy is brown forest soil. Not to mention how Hungary is situated in the deepest part of the hydrogeological closed Carpathian Basin, where the majority of the parent material is of a relatively young geological formation as it was formed from the Budai-hegyseg.

Human activities (such as deforestation, grazing, water regulation, intensive farming, and urbanization) have had both significantly effect on the soil formation and soil degradation processes. Hungarian soil cover is highly heterogeneous. The bedrock could be reached from the top layers of soil that are mentioned below whereas almost every phase of the following soil sequences can be distinguished:

Chronosequence; topo-sequence (catena); leaching sequence; salinity/alkalinity sequence; erosion sequence.



### **SOIL WATER CONDITION**

The water soil in this region can be defined as (high IR,P,HC; low HC GOOD WR) Just as the Great Hungarian Plain consists of a variable layered and textured deep aguifer where the groundwater table varies between 0.5 and 4.0 m below surface, with an average fluctuation of 0.5–2.0 m. The shallow water table often causes waterlogging on the lower parts of the fields. Surface aater logging appears also on the low-lying, low permeability plots at the end

of winter, after snowmelt and/or during high-precipitation periods. The high salt content of the groundwater and its high Na+/(Ca++ + Mg++) ratio often result in salinization and alkalinization of the soils.





- 1. Boundaries
- 2. Existing Buildings
- 3. Routes
- 4. Existing Vegetations
- 5. Comprehensive Site Plan

General info

Hármashatár-hegy means "Three Border Mountain" is the name of a mountain in the city of Budapest, Hungary. Its name comes from the fact that the borders of 3 cities (Buda, Óbuda, Pesthidegkút) met at this point in the 19th century. Today, these cities have merged into Budapest, but the mountain's name has remained unchanged. However, the border between the II and III districts still bisects the mountain. The mountain is located on the Buda side, at 495m above sea level. The mountain is a popular place for hiking, biking and paragliding. In the winter it is also a place for sled riding. There are several trails in the area, but the only one that reaches the top is the blue trail, also known as the Country Blue Trail (Az Országos Kéktúra). At the summit there are television and radio towers, as well as a restaurant. It is often windy at the peak, due to the lack of trees. Snow may linger up to two weeks after the snow in the city has melted. On average, the temperature is a couple of degrees Celsius less than on the Pest side.

The non-public Hármashatárhegy Airport (ICAO code: LHHH) has a single 1000 x 100 m runway and serves the Budapest-Hármashatárhegy region.



Temperature in this area rises the most during July and August. In January and February it gets the coldest. Rainfall in July is the highest as it reacher 58.6 millimeters. As summer starts, days get longer and nights shortet, in June there is 16 hours of daylight on the site

1. Site Boundaries 2. Hill Boundary 3. Sun Path 4. Sun Path and Wind Direction





Fig 2: Farming Areas Drawing



Fig 4: Green Areas Drawing

Fig 5: Railway Drawing





Fig 6: Streets Drawing

### **FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF HAR**

#### Gross Area is 7500 sqm

22

#### List of buildings and their programs:

- 1. Hangar (of Building A)
- 2. Interactive Hangar (Of Building B) (workshop/exhibition in offseasons)
- 3. Reception (Building C)
- 4. Lobby/Cafe Seating (Building C)
- 5. Kitchenette + Pantry
- 6. Kitchen Receiving Area
- 7. VIP Lounge Area
- 8. Meeting Room
- 9. Interactive Space/Exhibition Area
- 10. Multi-Purpose Hall
- 11. Unisex Toilet for the Disabled
- 12. Ladies Toilets
- 13. Gents Toilets
- 14. Building C Court Yard
- 15. Lobby (Building D)
- 16. Ladies Thermal Pool's Lockers + Toilets + Showers
- 17. Gents Thermal Pool's Lockers + Toilets + Showers
- 18. Indoor Pool Lockers + Showers Indoor Pool
- 19. Thermal Pool Sky Showers
- 20. Thermal Pool
- 21. Shared in-pool Utilities
- 22. Pool side/Gym Bar
- 23.Gym
- 24. Sauna
- 25. Outdoor Pool
- 26. First Floor Junction
- 27. Educational Private Class
- 28. Lecture Hall
- 29. Building C Plant Rooms 29.A. Electrical Room 29.B. Electrical Storage 29.C. AHU

30. Male Staff Room (Changing Room + Lockers + Toilet + Shower) 31. Female Staff Room (Changing Room + Lockers + Toilet + Shower) 32. HAR IT Department 33. Interactive Work-Shop/Creative Space 34.CCTV Room 35. Spa Zone 36. Massage Room 37. Spa Treatment Room 38. Simulation Room 39. Ticket And Event Office 40. Control Room (for HAR Airfield) 41. Management Open Plan Offices 42. Archive 43. Waste Disposal Room 44. Basement Services Entry 45. 46. Transformer + Battery Room 47. 48. 49. 51. Plant + Filters + Chlorine Room + Heating 52. 53. Rain Harvesting Tanks + Maintenance

A: An Open Double-Volume Space











### FUNCTIONAL SCHEMA

**CANNES AIRPORT** 

#### **COMTE ET VOLLENWEIDER ARCHITECTES**







× <u> </u>			N
n Ør	. דער איז	2022 m Engine: 5169 m Unit	
			-<7











The Cannes - Mandelieu airport is one of the strong points of attraction of the Côte d'Azur. Improving the range of services for business aviation, both in terms of the crew and the performance and maintenance of aircraft, is essential to support the development of air traffic in the platform of Nice Côte d'Azur. The first phase of development corresponds to the north wing of the Cannes -Mandelieu airport, it must be representative of the level of excellence of this project.

#### **KREKKE REST STOP AREA**

#### PUSHAK + LALA TØYEN









Krekke is a place to stop for a rest along the main road through Gudbrandsdalen, but it's also a park area for the local community of Fåvang, Ringebu. The service functions are placed inside an embankment that works as a noise barrier between the park and the highway.









EAGLE COPTERS



















The project is located in the district of Colina, next to Chicureo Aerodrome. It consists of the construction of the new facilities for Eagle Copters South America, which is made of administrative offices, customer recreational areas as well as hangars and workshops.

The total surface of the project is 10,457 m2, where volumes are distributed in parallel to edges. The central area of the terrain is cleared for free aircraft traffic, while linked to the different designs.

**NIKE AIR HANGAR** 

#### **TVA ARCHITECTS**







The Nike Air Hangar is a 12192 square meter facility located at the suburban Hillsboro Airport, on the outskirts of Portland. Privately owned by Nike, Inc., it houses three Gulfstream G5 jets for executive business travel. In addition to the requisite support space for flight planning and airplane maintenance, the Hangar provides amenities for travelers and pilots such as a lounge, exercise facilities, executive suites, meeting space, and a gourmet kitchen.











#### PEDRA DA RA LOOKOUT POINT

#### **CARLOS SEOANE**









The project for the "Pedra da Ra" lookout point is based upon a municipal investment Programme which aims to promote the tourist possibilities of the Riveira City Council.

The lookout point known as "Pedra da Ra" has been used as such since the 1980s, when a concrete staircase was built to climb on the rock and from there one could observe the Atlantic Ocean's horizon. Over time the original staircase deteriorated while losing all its meaning. The project is born out of the necessity for the demolition of that aggressive concrete staircase. This aggression is acted on both the environment and the rock itself. Thus, one sees the need to propose an action towards the recovery of the natural state of the site.









### THE LOOKOUT TOWER









At an elevation of approximately **500 meters high**, the lofty structure is perfectly positioned to overlook the city from a whole new point of view – when the weather is clear, this vantage point provides views far beyond the Budapest city limits



#### STORBERGET VIEWPOINT AND REST STOP AREA





#### **PUSHAK**



The National Tourist Routes are a selection of roads that stretch through some of the most beautiful landscapes in Norway and are developed and managed by the Norwegian Public Roads Administration. Several rest stops and viewpoints are established along the routes, either to highlight specific sights and outlooks or to mark a point of departure for hiking in the landscape. There is an expressed intention of achieving high architectural quality throughout the tourist route project, and several Norwegian architects have been involved in the various designs.







#### THE LIGHT BOX

#### **ROHAN CHAVAN**



The design of the restroom has been conceptualized around a tree for two reasons. One to express the idea of integrating nature and context in the built form and using its characteristics to protect from climate. Secondly the shade of the tree protects the garden below from the sun allowing filtered light, and then it only needs a transparent cover to protect from rain. This intervention helped to maintain the light quality, as exactly it was when the site was empty. This was a crucial factor from a designer's point of view. As a result of this intervention during the day the natural light lits up the box filtering through the trees and at night the box lights the surroundings.





1988-1 ·				- Constant
<u> </u>	2	Ì.		
5.9 B.01 PC	*****	1000 101		
		An and a second	sath your	220) 92 S













### **EXISTING STRUCTURES ON SITE**

The existing structures on site, and their conditions are illustrated throughout these photos from the Department of Public Building Design at BME





















### HAR COMPLEX DESIGN CONCEPT

3



Buda Ób*uda* 

Pest County

Fig 5: The Picnic friendly hill, lacks the needed<br/>landscape features, thus, the design will take care<br/>of the needs of a memorable picnicFig 6: The high tides of the wind serves as a great<br/>Kite attraction, with the scenery of the fascinating<br/>pine forests around

Fig 7: The huge area of the site is hard to be covered by a group of people trying to enjoy every bit of Harmashatarheyyi, thus Cable Carts are to be designed to connect the main attractions

Fig 8: create Event friendly spaces within the built environment and the landscape scenaries for the intended activities to be celebrated

Fig 9: Create Spa activites, to house meditating and relaxing activites for the tiring experiences existing on the site including baths, massages. etc

Fig 10: Facilitate the pedestrian movement on the plains and throughout the forests in a well manner by creating resting spaces along the way.

Fig 11: Create Entertainment facilities in the woods, including ziplines, and other facilities, by maintaining a minimum building footprint on site

Fig 12: Create catering facilities and temporary Pavilions for when needed



### **INSPIRATIONS AND EXPIREMENTAL FORMATION**



8. The shape changing and opening balconies that open out to panoramic views of the city during the day and evening, and close at midnight to give this different lighting sensation for the light scheme of Budapest. (Below Figure)

9: The multiple acostumed colored sky-beam-lights of the tower will be a spiced up addition to the beautiful light scheme of the city of Budapest during the night with the beams hitting the sky. (Figure on the Left)



Ellestain Failities nd Relaxin acilitic Biking . Hiking Facilitie lemporar nd other services fir field facilities

7- The shape-changing and dynamic tower with its multiple look-out customed balconies. The tower is meant to be erected at the heigh peak of the hill, which is the second selected site, to overlook Budapest and give another meaning to the night lighting scheme of the city. (Figure on the right)













Black Maquette

# AERIAL VIEW OF THE SITE LAYOUT



## **3D IMAGERY TO ILLUSTRATE THE DESIGN IDEAS**









HAR emerging from the forest, from a previously almostabandonded site, into a new horizon on a snowy day.

Entry architectural articulation with the users blending into the curves and lines created by its built environment

68

The entrance's architectural articulation with the users blending into the curves and lines created by its built environment






User-experience based landscape design is illustrated in this overall perspective from a glider-eye level of the whole complex of HAR





W winter

The second second second



States and the ground



Perspectives overviewing parts of HAR





A set of images showing off the HAR and how it emerges from the landscape as a part of it rather than imposing its structure on the site. Different renderings showing the scale of the buildings, the fading into the forest, and the farming life that it would initiate around itself.



A set of images showing off the HAR and how it emerges from the landscape as a part of it rather than imposing its structure on the site. Different renderings showing the scale of the buildings, the fading into the forest, and the farming life that it would initiate around itself. A 1 m

84

(1) A

NXXVI







HAR and its usage of a huge diversity of usertypes



















Figures illustrating how the project revitalizes the area during evening hours through these night renderings







THE RENOVATIONS OF THE EXISTING HANGAR WILL MERGE THEM INTO THE SURROUNDING LANDSCAPE AS WELL. IT IS NOTEWORTHY TO ILLUSTRATE HOW THE LANDSCAPE WAS DESIGNED BASED ON THE USER-EXPERIENCE PATH THAT WERE CREATED BY PEDESTRIANS ON THE SITE PREVIOUSLY, THAT WAY THERE WILL NOT BE ANY SHORTER CUTS THROUGH THIS OPTIMUM SOLUTION.

9/







THE LANDSCAPE FEATURES NOT A CONCRETE SOLID WALKABLITY, THAT PROHIBITS AND CUTS THE BIODIVERSITY IN THE FOREST OF HARMASHATAR HEGY, BUT IT PROMOTES THE EXISTANCE OF THE CURRENT BIODIVERSITY BY PROPOSING A GRASSCRETE FLOORING THAT WILL CONNECT THE BIO DIVERSE ECOSYSTEM, RATHER THAN BREAK IT. HAR's grasscrete doesn't just preserve the biodiverse ecosystems on the site, and not only connects them, but it functions pretty we during rain too.









FIGURES ILLUSTRATING THE RENOVATED HANGAR OF BUILDING A



CIEP P

FIGURES ILLUSTRATING THE OLD HANGAR THAT IS RENOVATED AND REMODELLED, (BUILDING A), WHICH WILL HAVE AN INNOVATIVE ROOF ADDED THAT IS RESPONSIVE TO ITS FUNCTION AT OFF SEASONS, WHEN GLIDERS AND GOODS ARE STORED WITHIN. THE ROOF WILL SUPPLY GREAT DEAL OF DAY LIGHT AND KEEP THE WHOLE SPACE WELL LIT, **THOUGH THERE WILL BE ZERO DIRECT SUNLIGHT EXPOSURE ON THE FLOOR LEVEL AT ALL TIMES**. SO THE GOODS OR GLIDERS WONT GET SUN DAMAGED, AND SITLL BE WELL LIT



### **TECHNICAL INFORMATION** OF HAR COMPLEX

The 7500 sqm site of the HAR Complex planned in District II, is a previous infrastructure of Barracks and Hangars constructed on the closest point of the approaching street to the site



of Harmashatar-Hegy (It is more of a path than a street of 15 meters width). There is a very weak street network around the site due to the natural features of the hilly landscape, though it is a great potential to preserving the natural side of the city, although it could be pointed out as a weakness due to the hassle it brings to mobilization, the site of HAR itself could be very easily accessed since it will sit on a previous, existing infrastructure (mentioned earlier), although it will have a fense during the process of construction, but the huge plane around it, clean off any built structures, will be in turn an easements for all the construction activities to be clearly and comfortablly laid out on the site, from the bench marking Total Station to the bench marks of the to-be-built structures, offices for the PM, staff rooms, and their waterclosets, the workshop and fabrication areas to even the machinery parking (the transportation trucks) and the gaurd room as well. The new structures' infrastructure must be added and connected to those of the surrounding sites of the project, from a main drinking water supply line branch, and sewage network, let alone the power lines, and telecommunication lines. That from the perspective of the construction management.

4

To discuss the technical information of the buildings themselves, the footprint of the new stuctures are erected up to 3 floors. The finishing is to be of insulated thermal Brick cladding over the RC walls. To Speak of the function of the project is to serve the HAR, and its gliding purposes, the design proposal is composed of two existing Hangars, that are to be renovated and remodeled, and adding two masses to serve the purposes of entertainment, relaxation, and administration to the visitors and the project's and/or

site's users. The Activities of entertainment and relaxation is housed by spaces of restaurants, cafes, small pools, sauna, showers, changing rooms, resting area, congregation areas, lobbies offices, workshops, lecture halls and storages.

All the details of the finishings as well are added in a table to Annex E along with the site plan and other drawings of the project.

### FINISHING MATERIAL LIST (FACADE + ROOF)

- 1. Hangar (RED BRICK + OXIDIZED STEEL ROOFING)
- 2. Interactive Hangar (RED BRICK + CERAMIC TILE ROOFING)
- Administrative + Education Mass 3.
- (BLACK BRICK CLADDING + CERAMIC TILE ROOFING) Entertainment + Relaxing Mass
- (BLACK BRICK CLADDING + CERAMIC TILE ROOFING)









### NORTH EASTERN FACADE ILLUSTRATION



# SOUTH WESTERN FACADE ILLUSTRATION



# SOUTH EASTERN FACADE ILLUSTRATION





# NORTH WESTERN FACADE ILLUSTRATION

### **ARCHITECTURAL STANDARDS**

### **DESIGN CRITERIA**

### General

During the process of developing these design criteria, certain assumptions had to be made because of the lack of commitment of large STOL aircraft to civil production. Therefore, these standards represent considered judgment of what constitutes a practical set of criteria considering available data, safety, noise, environment, and economics. It is apparent that the shorter the runway the easier it will be to locate a STOL port site, and the greater will be its compatibility with the local environment. On the other hand, the criteria cannot be so restrictive that aircraft manufacturers will be unable to produce a vehicle which can operate safely and economically from the STOL port.

#### Design Criteria

The following criteria have been developed based on STOL aircraft, bidirectional runway operations, and a precision instrument approach. See Figs. 1 and 2 and Table 1 for illustration of specific dimensions.

#### **Runway Length Determination**

A discussion of takeoff and landing runway lengths is needed to establish a common understanding of the terms used. This is particularly necessary for the case of the elevated STOL port, where reference to Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) field length cannot be considered in the same context as the conventional airport.

#### Microwave ILS

Microwave instrument landing systems for STOL operation are currently being evaluated

Planning and Design Criteria for Metropoli-tan STOL Ports, Federal Aviation Administra-tion, Department of Transportation, Washing-ton, D.C., 1970.

by the FAA. The type of equipment has been designed specifically for steep gradient approaches. The siting of the microwave system may be relatively simple since the localizer and glide slope functions may be collocated. (See Fig. 1.) Offset instrument landing system (ILS) approaches would be advantageous under certain site conditions and are under study. Nevertheless, an offset approach should be considered only where obstructions in the approach would prevent a straight-in ILS procedure.

#### **Obstruction Clearance**

The imaginary surfaces for protection of the STOL port are shown in Fig. 3.

General The surfaces have been defined on the basis of operational tests with the microwave ILS. The 15:1 slope for the approach/departure surface is predicated on adequate obstruction clearance for steep gradient approaches and also for takeoff climb.

Curved Paths For VFR (visual flight rules) operations, a curved path for approach or departure is guite practical and may be necessary in some cases to provide a suitable route. For example, an IFR (instrument flight rules) procedure may be feasible from only one direction. Under adverse wind conditions, it would be desirable and perhaps necessary to complete the IFR approach, transition to VFR and land from the opposite direction. The radius of the curved path will vary according to the performance of individual aircraft and the angle of bank used. For planning purposes, a radius of 1,500 ft may be used.

#### **Runway** Orientation

One of the primary factors influencing runway orientation is wind. Ideally, the runway should be aligned with the prevailing winds. It is recognized that the limited number of STOL port sites will minimize the opportunity for the runway to have optimum wind coverage. On the other hand, it is also recognized that the availability of a crosswind runway on a metropolitan STOL port will be rare. Accordingly, the designer should attempt to obtain maximum wind coverage. The minimum desirable wind coverage is 95 percent based on the total hours of available weather observations. In other words, the objective is to attain more than 95 percent usability (preferably 98 percent). The allowable crosswind component will be determined by the crosswind capabilities of the most critical aircraft expected to operate at the STOL port.

#### Parallel Runways - STOL Port

For simultaneous VFR operations on a STOL port, the minimum separation between the centerlines of parallel runways should be 700

### **Runway Capacity**

The capacity of a runway is the number of aircraft operations (landings and takeoffs) that the runway can accommodate in a limited period of time. The operational capacity of a STOL runway will be lowest during IFR conditions. To obtain maximum IFR capacity, the STOL runway should be equipped with a microwave ILS and radar surveillance (including an air traffic control tower). A method for calculation of capacity values is given in Advisory Circular 150/5060-1A. This publication discusses the numerous factors which must be considered in a capacity analysis. However, as a general guideline, with current procedures, the IFR capacity of a single STOL runway will be approximately 45 operations per hour. It is expected that this capacity will be considerably expanded when adequate data have been collected and analyzed.

#### **Potential Configurations**

In many metropolitan areas, siting of a STOL port may necessitate an elevated structure. At such sites, the designer should strive to achieve vertical loading and unloading of pas-









TABLE 1 Design Criteria for Metropolitan STOL Ports\*

Design item	Recommended criteria
Runway length at sea level and 90°F	1,500 to
	1,800 ft
Runway width	100 ft
Runway safety area width.	200 ft
Runway safety area length	1,700 to
Taxiway width	2,000 ft 60 ft
Runway C $_L$ to taxiway C $_L$ $\dagger$	200 ft
Runway C $_L$ to edge of parked aircraft $\ldots$	250 ft
Runway $C_L$ to building line	300 ft
Taxiway C1, to fixed obstacle	100 ft
Runway C <sub>1</sub> to holding line	150 ft
Separation between parallel runways	100 11
Protection surfaces:	
Primary surface length	Runway length plus 100 ft on each end
Primary surface width	300 ft
Approach/departure surface length Approach/departure surface slope Approach/departure surface width at:	10,000 ft 15:1
Beginning	300 ft
10,000 feet.	3,400 ft
Transitional surface slope	4:1
Transitional surface maximum height	100 ft
Clear zone:	100
Length	750 ft
Inner width	300 ft
Outer width	532 ft
Pavement strength	150,000 lb gross weight on dual

Comment	
	Correction for elevation and temperature to be made on the basis of individual aircraft performance.
	Widening may be desirable if wind coverage is less than 95 per cent.
	Widening may be desirable if wind coverage is less than 95 per cent. If elevated, a 300-ft width is recommended for the structure.
	If elevated, the structure would be within this range.
	Based on expected configuration of second generation aircraft.
	Based on expected configuration of second generation aircraft.
	Based on expected configuration of second generation aircraft
	Height controlled by transitional surface.
	Based on second generation aircraft.
	Based on second generation aircraft.
	See text.

Based on the use of microwave instrument approach equipment.

Approach/departure surface is 765 ft wide at 1,500 ft from beginning.

Begins at end of primary surface.

Based on second generation aircraft. Also see paragraph headed "Structural Design."

\* The criteria are subject to change as further experience is gained.  $\pm C_L = {\rm centerline}$ 

sengers and cargo; i.e., from one level to another. Such a design will allow an operational area that is virtually free of fixed obstacles. Each STOL port should be designed with due consideration of local conditions, particularly the configuration of the land available and surrounding land uses. Figure 4 shows one possible layout of the staggered runway concept. One runway is used primarily for landing and the other for takeoff. This configuration allows a considerable reduction in the total operational area by eliminating parallel taxiways. Also, the flow of traffic is optimized, since no aircraft backout or turning around is involved. Figure 5 shows the tandem runway concept. Again, one runway is used for

landing and the other for takeoff, but not simultaneously. Spacing must be provided for taxiing past parked aircraft and aircraft backout for turning around. The figures are intended to illustrate the new approach which must be taken in the planning and design of STOL ports; they are not intended to require a parallel runway configuration.

tandem gear

#### **ELEVATED STOL PORTS**

#### General

The siting of a STOL port involves a series of tradeoffs. One of these is the optimum site for the origin/destination of passengers versus





#### **Operational Surface**

Essentially, the same standards are used for elevated STOL ports as surface facilities. Nevertheless, the question arises as to what is the recommended minimum.

Length of Structure The length of structure recommended is a range between 1,700 and 2,000 ft.

Width of Structure The recommended width of the structure is 300 ft for the runway operational area. However, this is dependent upon the emergency arresting system selected for lateral containment, the degree of wind cover-



#### Fig. 5 Potential layout.

age, and the need for a parallel taxiway. The lateral arresting system may require a greater or lesser area width, adjacent to the runway. Also, if the runway is not aligned with prevailing winds, it may be appropriate to have a wider runway. For most STOL ports, a parallel taxiway will be needed. In this case, the structure should be at least 400 ft wide.

#### Structural Design

The landing area should be designed for the largest aircraft expected to use it. The maximum weight aircraft anticipated by 1985 is 150,000 lb. Other types of loads, such as snow, freight equipment, etc., should be considered in the design of the area and the structures as appropriate.

#### **Emergency Equipment**

Provision should be made for equipment on the operational area to handle emergency medical and fire situations. Consideration should also be given to some type of built-in hydrant system.

#### Aircraft Maintenance and Fueling

Due to the limited parking space available, it appears logical to plan only for emergency maintenance. The decision to install an aircraft fueling system will depend on several factors, among which is the requirement of the local building code.

#### Floating STOL Port

A STOL port located on water (floating or semisubmersed) is not truly an elevated facility. However, many of the operational problems associated with a floating STOL port are the same as for an elevated STOL port. For example, emergency arresting systems should be provided to ensure that the aircraft does not fall into the water. On the other hand, wind flow should be considerably less of a problem. In many metropolitan areas, a floating facility, on either an interim or permanent basis, may provide the best solution to establishing STOL service.

#### TERMINAL AREA

#### General

The primary purpose of the terminal area on a STOL port is the same as the terminal area on a conventional airport – to provide for the transfer of passengers and cargo from one mode of transportation to another. However, due to the specialized function of the STOL system, attention should be given to possible innovations in the terminal area, such as gate processing and vertical movement of passengers. The STOL system, which is aimed at short-haul, high-density air transportation, must be efficient in every aspect.

#### **Terminal Building**

The terminal building should be designed to accommodate a steady flow of passengers rather than long-term holding of passengers. This means secondary features of the terminal, such as concessions and eating facilities, should be minimized. To aid in efficient passenger handling, consideration should be given to passenger processing at the gate. Since the majority of short-haul passengers are business-

STOL 27 27 27 27 27

> oriented, time-conscious, and carry relatively little baggage, gate processing should be quite feasible. Also, mutual-use (or common use) gates appear to be a requirement.

#### **Vertical Movement**

On elevated STOL ports, it may not be feasible to locate the terminal on the same level as the operational area. This would, of course, require vertical movement of passengers and baggage. Several methods of accomplishing this have been studied. Among these are escalators, elevators, and loading bridges. The escalators would involve the least cost but would create a fixed obstacle in the aircraft maneuvering area. The elevators can be located on the side of the structure but are expensive and preclude a steady flow of passengers to the aircraft. The loading bridges completely protect the passenger from the weather but are expensive and create an obstacle. At surface STOL ports, vertical movement of passengers may be feasible between mass transit vehicles and the aircraft gate area. This should be given careful evaluation during the initial planning of the terminal.

#### Capacity

The size of the terminal is determined by the peak-hour volume of passengers and cargo. The forecast of the peak-hour volume must be made recognizing the maximum capacity of the runway (in VFR conditions), the aircraft passenger capacity, the aircraft load factor, and the frequency of service. Further, an analysis must be made of the maximum capacity of the surface access systems. Surface congestion has a direct effect on the efficiency of the air transportation system.





 $\bigcirc$ ()R  $\bigcirc$  $\bigcirc$ 







-REUSING EXISTING STRUCTURE (ADDING A CAP AND REMODELING THE FACADE)

-THE RELAXING AREA AND SERVICES (SHOWERS, LOCKERS, (TOILETS, SMALL THERMAL BATHS, OFFICES, ADMINISTRATION)

-THE ENTERTAINMENT AND EDUCATION AREA (LECTURE HALLS, CAFE, RESTAURANT, AND WORKSHOPS + Control Room)

REUSING EXISTING STRUCTURE (ADDING A CAP AND REMODELING THE FACADE)

-PLAZA FUTURE EXTENTION (KOMPLEX 2)







 $\bigcirc$ 

5

25

15

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle$ 



/S01











## **GROUND FLOOR LAYOUT**





- (Of Building B) (workshop/exhibition in off-seasons)
- 3. Reception (Building C)
- 4. Lobby/Cafe Seating (Building C)
- 5. <sup>°</sup>Kitchenette + Pantry
- 6. Kitchen Receiving Area
- 7. VIP Lounge Area
- 8. Meeting Room
- 9. Interactive Space/Exhibition Area
- 10. Multi-Purpose Hall
- 11. Unisex Toilet for the Disabled
- 12. Ladies Toilets
- <sup>3</sup>13. Gents Toilets
- 14. Building C Court Yard
- 15. Lobby (Building D)
- 16. Ladies Thermal Pool's Lockers + Toilets + Showers
- 17. Gents Thermal Pool's Lockers + Toilets + Showers 41. Management Open Plan Offices
- 18. Indoor Pool Lockers + Showers Indoor Pool
- 19. Thermal Pool Sky Showers
- 20. Thermal Pool
- 21, Shared in-pool Utilities
- 22. Pool side/Gym Bar
- 23. Gym 24. Sauna
- 25. Outdoor Pool
- 26. First Floor Junction
- 27. Educational Private Class

- 28. Lecture Hall
- 29. Building C Plant Rooms
  - 29.A. Electrical Room
  - 29.B. Electrical Storage
  - 29.C. AHU
- 30. Male Staff Room

2

- (Changing Room + Lockers + Toilet + Shower) 31. Female Staff Room
- (Changing Room + Lockers + Toilet + Shower)
- 32. HAR IT Department
- 33. Interactive Work-Shop/Creative Space
- 34. CCTV Room
- 35. Spa Zone
- 36. Massage Room
- 37. Spa Treatment Room
- 38. Simulation Room
- 39. Ticket And Event Office
- 40. Control Room (for HAR Airfield)
- 42. Archive
- 43. Waste Disposal Room
- 44. Basement Services Entry
- 45. 46. Transformer + Battery Room
- 47. 48. 49. 51. Plant + Filters + Chlorine
- Room + Heating 52. 53. Rain Harvesting Tanks +
- Maintenance
- A: An Open Double-Volume Space

# **FIRST FLOOR LAYOUT**

 $\square$ 

27

14

26

28

29a

29

29c

296

38

23A

15A

18

35

11, 36 37

39

26

Э4

19A

20A



#### 136 SECOND FLOOR LAYOUT 1. Hangar (of Building A) 28. Lecture Hall 29. Building C Plant Rooms 2. Interactive Hangar 29.A. Electrical Room (Of Building B) (workshop/exhibition in off-seasons) 3. Reception (Building C) 29.B. Electrical Storage 15 $\square$ 5 Lobby/Cafe Seating (Building C) 29.C. AHU 4. 5. Kitchenette + Pantry 30. Male Staff Room (Changing Room + Lockers + Toilet + Shower) 6. Kitchen Receiving Area 7. VIP Lounge Area 31. Female Staff Room (Changing Room + Lockers + Toilet + Shower) 8. Meeting Room 9. Interactive Space/Exhibition Area 32. HAR IT Department 10. Multi-Purpose Hall 33. Interactive Work-Shop/Creative Space 11. Unisex Toilet for the Disabled 34. CCTV Room 12. Ladies Toilets 35. Spa Zone 13. Gents Toilets 36. Massage Room 14. Building C Court Yard 37. Spa Treatment Room 15. Lobby (Building D) 38. Simulation Room 16. Ladies Thermal Pool's Lockers + Toilets + 39. Ticket And Event Office 40. Control Room (for HAR Airfield) 40 Showers 17. Gents Thermal Pool's Lockers + Toilets + Showers 41. Management Open Plan Offices 42 18. Indoor Pool Lockers + Showers Indoor Pool 42. Archive 19. Thermal Pool Sky Showers 43. Waste Disposal Room 44. Basement Services Entry 20. Thermal Pool 41 45. 46. Transformer + Battery Room 21. Shared in-pool Utilities 22. Pool side/Gym Bar 47. 48. 49. 51. Plant + Filters + Chlorine 23. Gym Room + Heating 24. Sauna 52. 53. Rain Harvesting Tanks + 25. Outdoor Pool Maintenance 26. First Floor Junction 27. Educational Private Class A: An Open Double-Volume Space 15A 39A 34R 38A









Section C-C



Section B-B





South-Eastern Facade



North-Eastern Facade



Section A-A









Section D-D





### SECTION C-C ILLUSTRATION






















8

Б

5

4

COLUMN FOUNDATION CALCULATIONS Those that carry 3 floors: 10 KN/SQM X 64 SQM X 3 = 1920 KN 1920 KN/SQM / 250 KN/SQM = 7.68 SQM (2.8 M X 2.8 M) as they would be too close to each other it was decided to have a strip of 2 m x 21.3 m that would be composed of the area of the columns together

SHEAR WALLS OF BASEMENT: 6 KN/SQM X 64 SQM X 1 = 384 KN 384 KN / 250 KN/SQM = 2 SQM

and for the shear walls of the ground floor:

```
10 KN/SQM X 64 SQM X 2 = 1280 KN
1280 KN/SQM / 250 KN/SQM = 5 SQM
(2.3 M X 2.3 M) same methodology as above, combi-
ned two foundations of two columns to give the same
area.
```



Section C-C



## Section B-B



Section A-A



South-Eastern Facade



North-Eastern Facade







North-Western Facade

South-Western Facade













# ANNEX A ENERGETICS STUDY BREIF

# **AIR FLOW STUDY**

The HAR complex is highly responsive to the air flow at Harmashatar Hegy, to maximize the cross ventilation and natural flow within the building through the considered punctured courtyards that face the forest behind the project that acts as a wind barrier and reflect the cold breezes back into the project, and the tilted roof as well maximizes a 100% rain water harvestment. Velocity (m/s) [Pressure (Pa)] 11.699 [65.974] 10.132 [35.883] 8.272 [5.791] 5.849 [-24.301] 0 [-54.393] Drag coefficient: 0.76 ag force: 0.038 (N) Average drag coefficient: 0.70 Time: 00:00: 0.78 Drag coeff. 0.75 00:00:00.0 Time (s)







The HAR complex is responsibally responsive to solar energy, not only through active systems, but also through the passive design of the roof, mass orientation, and fenestration. HAR's roof is designed to be tilted at a certain angle and the masses are orientedinthroughlytomaximize the amount of captured sun light and turning it into power through the roof installations of the PVC all over the roof top. HAR's solar harvesting doesnt stop there, the Facades are designed to eliminate the direct solar gain, instead every place is lit through north light. The northern facade has above 60% of glazing, whereas the southern/eastern and western overlooking facades have these small narrow slits of triple glazed glass that forms nothing more than 25 percent of that certain facade. Not to mention the Cavity External Insulated walls, this way, the inner spaces of HAR are far from heat loss and heat gain problems in an optimum way.

# **POWER (RENEWABLE)**

BUILDING TYPE	HEATED FLOOR AREA, A [M <sup>2</sup> ]	HEATING,Q [KW]		
	<500	30 Kw		
RESIDENTIAL	5001000	60 Kw		
	>1000	V m <sup>3</sup> . 20 W/ m <sup>3</sup>		
	<1000	80 Kw		
OFFICE	1000 2000	150 Kw		
	> 2000	V m <sup>3</sup> . 15 w/ m <sup>3</sup>		
	< 1000	80 Kw		
EDUCTIONAL	1000 2000	150 Kw		
	> 2000	V m <sup>3</sup> . 20 w/ m <sup>3</sup>		
	<1000	80 Kw		
HEALTH CARE	1000 2000	150 Kw		
	> 2000	v m3 . 25 w/ m <sup>3</sup>		

HEATING SYSTEM	ROOM AREA , A [ M <sup>2</sup> ]
WALL - MOUNTED BOILER	2-15 M <sup>2</sup>
HEAT PUMP	5-20 M <sup>2</sup>
SOLID FUEL BOILER	15-30 M <sup>2</sup>

HEATING DEMAND Q [ KW ]	PLANT AREA, A [M <sup>2</sup> ]
Q < 450 KW	17 M <sup>2</sup>
450 KW < Q < 800 KW	17-22 M <sup>2</sup>
800 KW < Q < 1.630 KW	22-35 M <sup>2</sup>

Heating Demand = V \* 15 W/m<sup>3</sup> HD = 22050 \* 15 HD = 330750 W/m<sup>3</sup> HD = 330.75 KW

Heating Demand = V \* 15 W/m<sup>3</sup> HD = 7000 \* 15 HD = 105000 W/m<sup>3</sup> HD = 105 KW Building C

**Building D** 

Building type	Total floor area, A [m <sup>2</sup> ]	Power Demand, P [kW]		
	A < <b>500</b> m <sup>2</sup>	<b>50</b> kW		
Residential	<b>500</b> m <sup>2</sup> < A < <b>1000</b> m <sup>2</sup>	<b>75</b> kW		
	> <b>1000</b> m <sup>2</sup>	<b>A</b> m <sup>2</sup> · <b>60</b> W/m <sup>2</sup>		
	A < 1000 m <sup>2</sup>	<b>150</b> kW		
Office	<b>1000</b> m <sup>2</sup> < A < <b>2000</b> m <sup>2</sup>	<b>200</b> kW		
	A > <b>2000</b> m <sup>2</sup>	<b>A</b> m <sup>2</sup> · <b>175</b> W/m <sup>2</sup>		
	A < 1000 m <sup>2</sup>	<b>100</b> kW		
Educational	<b>1000</b> m <sup>2</sup> < A < <b>2000</b> m <sup>2</sup>	<b>150</b> kW		
	A > <b>2000</b> m <sup>2</sup>	<b>A</b> m <sup>2</sup> · <b>125</b> W/m <sup>2</sup>		
	A < 1000 m <sup>2</sup>	<b>200</b> kW		
Health care	<b>1000</b> m <sup>2</sup> < A < <b>2000</b> m <sup>2</sup>	<b>400</b> kW		
	A > <b>2000</b> m <sup>2</sup>	<b>A</b> m <sup>2</sup> · <b>300</b> W/m <sup>2</sup>		

Electricity Demand = A \* 60 W/m<sup>2</sup> ED = 2100 \* 175 W/m<sup>2</sup> ED = 367500 W/m<sup>2</sup> ED = 367.5 KW

Electricity Demand = A \* 60 W/m<sup>2</sup> ED = 1000 \* 175 W/m<sup>2</sup> ED = 175000 W/m<sup>2</sup> ED = 175 KW

URBAN Downtown	0.4 KV - 10 KV	200 KW
Rural areas	0.4 KV - 20 KV	100 KW

ELECTRIC POWER DEMAND, P (KW)	ELECTRIC ROOM, CABINET DIMENSIONS
P < 50 KW	wall mounted cabinet : width :1.20 m , depth: 0.30 m , heigh :2.0 m
50 KW < P < 100 KW	width :1.20 m , depth: 0.30 m , heigh :2.0 m wall mounted cabinet : width :2.50 m , depth: 0.30 m , heigh :2.0 m
100 KW < P < 200 KW	electric switch room : A= 4 m <sup>2</sup>
P > 200 KW	electric switch room : A= 12 m <sup>2</sup>

HEATING

Building C

Building D



water demand V [m³/day]	water pressure booster plant dimmenssion
V < 41 M³/day	floor area : 5 m <sup>2</sup> ,headroom 3,00 m
	floor area : 5-13.5 m <sup>2</sup> ,headroom 3,00 m
V > 153 M³/day	floor area : 13.5 m² ,headroom 3,00 m

# **VENTILATION AND AIR QUALITY**

BUILDING TYPE	ventilated space	ventilation demand	
	WC exhaust	50 m <sup>3</sup> / h	
residential buildings and units	Shower exhaust	70 m <sup>3</sup> / h	
residential buildings and units	bathroom exhaust	30 m <sup>3</sup> / h	
	living spaces with heat , exchanger units	2 m <sup>3</sup> / h	
	office spaces	3.6-6 m <sup>3</sup> /h.Am <sup>2</sup>	
offices	meeting rooms	10 m <sup>3</sup> / h . A m <sup>2</sup>	
	car parking	200-300 m <sup>3</sup> / h per car	
educational	ventilation with heat	36 m <sup>3</sup> / h per person	

ventilation demand Vair [ m³/h ]	ventilation plant dimenssion		
V air <20000 m³/h	floor area : 30 - 50 m²,headroom 2.65m		
20000 m³/h < V air <30000 m³/h	floor area : 50 - 60 m <sup>2</sup> ,headroom 3.00 m		
30000 m³/h < V air <40000 m³/h	floor area : 30 - 50 m <sup>2</sup> ,headroom3.50 m		
V air > 40000 m <b>³/h</b>	floor area : > 80 m <sup>2</sup> ,headroom 4.00 m		

Ventilation Demand = V \* 0.8VD = 280 \* 0.8  $VD = 224 \text{ m}^{3}/\text{h}$ 

 $VD = 224 \text{ m}^3/\text{h} + 30 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$  $VD = 254 \text{ m}^{3}/\text{h}$ 

# ELEVATOR KONE A MONOSPACE®

Description: Machine-room-less elevators for low-rise residential buildings Speed: 0.63 m/s Max. Travel: 20 m Max no. of stops: 8 Max Load: 544 kgs. Max Persons: 8 Max Group Size: 2 Control System: Down collective (standard)

#### Features



## The machine-room-less technology that benefits all



O Optional Energy saving feature

KONE A MonoSpace is powered by KONE EcoDisc, a proprietary machine-room-less, gearless technology that revolutionised the elevator industry. This gearless solution consumes less power which means smaller back-up generators for residential projects. It also results in no losses due to inefficient gears, resulting in savings in running energy costs while ensuring smooth, safe and comfortable rides every time.

#### Advantages of Gearless Elevator over Geared Elevator

	KONE EcoDisc Gearless Elevator	Traditional geared Elevator
	Consumes less start-up-power. Small back-up generator sufficient.	Consumes more start-up-power. Large back-up generator needed.
	Oil not required.	Needs oil.
	No gears, energy saved.	Gears consume more running energy
ĺ	Comfortable ride experience due to minimal vibration.	Uncomfortable ride experience due vibrations produced by gears.
ĺ	Automatically checks brake condition everyday. Improved safety & reliability.	Manual checking of brakes, every da not feasible.
ĺ	No machine room required. Saves building space, construction cost & time.	Machine room required. Consumes construction cost & time.
	Highly accurate car levelling.	Car levelling may not be precise.



atic,	s s	KONE A MonoSpace <sup>®</sup>					
tomatic	s	Application	Machine-room-less				
		Speed	0.63 m/s				
utomatic	S	Max Travel	20 m				
	0	Max no. of stops	8				
ır,	0	Max Load	544 kgs				
	S	Persons	5, 6 & 8 Passengers				
	s	Max Group Size	2				
	0	Control System	Down Collective (standard)				

to more lay checking extra space,



Layout and Dimensions Single entrance car Center opening





# **Shaft Information**

SL. No.	No. of Persons	Load [kgs]	Car Width BB [mm]	Car Depth DD [mm]	Door Opening LL [mm]	Shaft Width WW [mm]	Shaft Depth WD [mm]
1	5	340	950	1000	700	1550	1475
2	6	408	950	1150	700	1550	1525
3	6	408	1100	1000	700	1650	1475
4	6	408	950	1150	800	1750	1525
5	6	408	1100	1000	800	1750	1475
6	8	544	1100	1300	700	1675	1600
7	8	544	1100	1300	800	1775	1600
8	8	544	950	1400	700	1575	1700
9	8	544	1300	1100	800	1875	1500

Note • All shaft measurements specified are taken over plaster • Shaft dimensions are to be maintained to a tolerance of 0, +25mm • For more details on minimum shaft sizes contact our sales team

# **PHOTOVOLTAIC SOLAR PANELS**



#### **TESLA POWER CELLS**

Tile warranty:	Infinity, or the lifetin	ne of you
Power and We	atherization warranty:	30 y
Roof Pitch:	3:12 to vertical	
Hail rating:	Class 4 ANSI FM 4473	(best ha
Wind rating:	Class F ASTM D3161	(best w
Fire rating:	Class A UL 790 (best fir	e rating



## Invisible Solar Cells

Customize the amount of electricity your Solar Roof produces to fit your energy needs. This feature is made possible by using two types of glass tile, solar tile and non-solar tile. Both appear the same from street level.

## Infinite Tile Warranty

Made with tempered glass, Solar Roof tiles are more than three times stronger than standard roofing tiles. That's why we offer the best warranty in the industry - the lifetime of your house, or infinity, whichever comes first. Watch our hail test video to see how we take durability to a whole new level.

our house, whichever comes first years

ail rating) vind rating)

# **BUILDING ENERGY PERFORMANCE**

E ventilation = 2100\*45\*2.5 = 236250 Wh/m<sup>2</sup>a

E hot water = 2100\*15\*2.5 = 78750 Wh/m<sup>2</sup>a

E lighting = 2100\*22\*2.5 = 115500 Wh/m<sup>2</sup>a

E renewable = 590.000 Wh/m<sup>2</sup>a

Energy Sources	e <sub>primal</sub> value
Electric energy (peak)	2,50
Electric energy (off peak)	1,80
Natural gas	1,00
Fuel oil	1,00
Coal	0,95
District heating	1,20
District heating with co-generator	1,12
Wood, biomass	0,60
Renewable energy	0,00

Building type		ting m2a]	Ventilation [Wh/m2a]	Cooling [Wh/m2a]	Hot water [Wh/m2a]	Lighting [Wh/m2a]
	<b>q</b> heat	Pheat	Pventilation	Pcooling	Phot water	Plighting
Residential	40	3	30	25	40	8
Offices	30	2	45	45	15	22
Educational	55	4	45	10	20	12
Industrial	30	2	35	15	20	10

Eheating	= A ( $q_{heating} \cdot e_{heating primal} + p_{heating} \cdot e_{electric primal}$ [Wh/m <sup>2</sup> a]
Eventilation	= $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{ventilation} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{electric primal} [Wh/m^2a]$
Ehot water	= $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{hot water} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{hot water primal} [Wh/m^2a]$
Elighting	= $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\text{lighting}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\text{electric primal}} [Wh/m^2a]$
Erenewable	: should be estimated based on the area of the renewable systems:
	- PV panels may produce about 360.000 Wh/m <sup>2</sup> a electric energy in a year,
	- Solar collectors may produce 590.000 Wh/m <sup>2</sup> a energy in a year in Hungary.

```
E_p = 168000 + 236250 + 78750 + 115500 - 590000 / 1000 =
8.5kWh/m<sup>2</sup>a
```

A/V ratio	E <sub>p</sub> Residential	E <sub>p</sub> Office	E <sub>p</sub> Educational
	[kWh/m <sup>2</sup> a]	[kWh/m <sup>2</sup> a]	[kWh/m <sup>2</sup> a]
A/V ≤ 0,3	110	132	90
0,3 ≤ A/V ≤ 1,3	74 + 120 · (A/V)	94 + 128 · (A/V)	40,8 + 164 · (A/V)
A/V ≥ 1,3	110	260	254

100 ·	Eperforman
-------	------------

100\*8.5 / 132 = 6.4%

NOTE: This calculation is based on the dimensions of building C

E<sub>p</sub> = (E<sub>heating</sub> + E<sub>ventilation</sub> + E<sub>hot water</sub> + E<sub>lighting</sub> - E<sub>renewable</sub>) / 1000 [kWh/m<sup>2</sup>a]

## ce / Eregulation [%]

	Classification classes	Ratio [%]	Classifications
A+	A+	< 55 🔵	Very energy efficient
A	A	56 - 75	Energy efficient
В	В	76 - 95	Better than requirement
С	C	96 - 100	According to requirement
D	D	101 - 120	Close to requirement
E	E	121 - 150	Better than average
F	F	151 - 190	Average
G	G	191 - 250	Close to average
Н	Н	251 - 340	Weak
1		341 >	Bad

Please note that the overall building energy performance must reach

A+, A, B or C class to receive an approval.

A/V ratio	E <sub>p</sub> Residential	E <sub>p</sub> Office	E <sub>p</sub> Educational
	[kWh/m <sup>2</sup> a]	[kWh/m <sup>2</sup> a]	[kWh/m <sup>2</sup> a]
A/V ≤ 0,3	110	132	90
0,3 ≤ A/V ≤ 1,3	74 + 120 · (A/V)	94 + 128 · (A/V)	40,8 + 164 · (A/V)
A/V ≥ 1,3	110	260	254

100 · Eperformance

100\*(-305) / 132 = -231.06%

NOTE: This calculation is based on the dimensions of building D

E heating = 1000(30\*2.5+2\*2.5) = 80000 Wh/m<sup>2</sup>a

E ventilation = 1000\*45\*2.5 = 112500 Wh/m<sup>2</sup>a

E hot water = 1000\*15\*2.5 = 37500 Wh/m<sup>2</sup>a

E lighting = 1000\*22\*2.5 = 55000 Wh/m<sup>2</sup>a

E renewable = 590.000 Wh/m<sup>2</sup>a

E<sub>p</sub> = (E<sub>heating</sub> + E<sub>ventilation</sub> + E<sub>hot water</sub> + E<sub>lighting</sub> - E<sub>renewable</sub>) / 1000 [kWh/m<sup>2</sup>a]

# Building C has scored a A+ for its high energy efficiency

Ep = 80000 + 112500 + 37500 + 55000 - 590.000 / 1000 = -305 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>a

## Building D has scored a A+ for its high energy efficiency

	Classification classes	Ratio [%]	Classifications
A+	A+	< 55 📃	Very energy efficient
Α	A	56 - 75	Energy efficient
В	B	76 - 95	Better than requirement
С	C	96 - 100	According to requirement
D	D	101 - 120	Close to requirement
E	E	121 - 150	Better than average
F	F	151 - 190	Average
G	G	191 - 250	Close to average
Н	Н	251 - 340	Weak
1		341 >	Bad

Please note that the overall building energy performance must reach A+, A, B or C class to receive an approval.

/ Eregulation	[%]
---------------	-----







# ANNEX B BUILDING CONSTRUCTION STUDY BREIF

# **REQUIREMENTS (ENERGY, INSULATION, FINISHING)**



RYDUM

·C

# Fire

In most European countries there is different fire regulations related to the height of the building or its proximity to the site boundary or adjoining buildings. Firstly, it is important to note the differences between reaction-to-fire and fire resistance.

#### Reaction to Fire

Reaction-to-fire focuses on the behaviour of the materials during the development of a fire. This allows a designer to choose a material suitable for an application. The European standard EN 13501-1: Reaction to Fire provides a number of performance criteria to measure the fire characteristics of building materials. These cover spread of flame and contribution to fire as well the generation of smoke and the production of burning droplets.

#### The designations are:

Spread of flame A1, A2, B, C, D, E, F. A1 and A2,s1,d0 are both classed as non-combustible, while at the other end of the scale an F rating is easily flammable Smoke s1, s2, s3 s1 refers to a material generating little or no smoke. Where as, S2 rated materials generate medium smoke and S3 produces heavy smoke, **Burning droplets** d0, d1, d2 d0 rated materials produce no droplets within 600 seconds. While d1 rated materials will produce droplets within 600 seconds but do not burn for more than 10 seconds. d2 material are all those which do not fall in d0 or d1 designation.

EQUITONE panels achieve a A2,s1,d0 classification.

#### **Fire Resistance**

Fire resistance is based on EN 13501-2 and encompass the whole structural element and not just the façade material. This may consist of the complete façade wall from the outer rainscreen panel to the internal wall finish. The entire element should resist the impact of fire on its structural ability for as long as possible.

#### Local requirements

In addition to the European Standards there may also be some specific local requirements needed. For example in Denmark a local K10 test is needed.

## Height of Building

There seems to be a similarly in most countries that buildings over 18-20 metres high require that the façade panels has a higher rating, A1 or A2-s1, d0 in accordance with EN 13501-1. This is an important factor due to the effectiveness of fire fighting equipment, EQUITONE fibre cement panels with their excellent fire resisting performance achieve A2-s1, d0 rating and has unrestricted use on buildings, no matter what height.

Proximity to other buildings and site boundary Some countries regulations also restrict what materials can be used on facades which are near other buildings or the site boundary. This is intended to prevent a fire from one building jumping to the next. Restrictions on the amount and size of openings such as windows are also limited by the regulation.



In some designs it is a requirement for the designer to use fire barriers as part of the overall building fire protection plan. Normally this occurs at floor levels on higher or larger buildings. They are used to compartmentise the building and help control the passage of fire and stop it spreading over the whole building. The barrier must extend to the back of the rainscreen panel.

A vertical fire barrier can be a standard approved cavity barrier. As the barrier runs vertically it does not effect the air movement.

Promat PROMASEAL® RSB-V and RSB-N are ventilated and non-ventilated cavity barriers for use in rainscreen cladding systems. The products comprise of a rock wool section with an integral Intumescent strip bonded along one edge. In the event of direct exposure to fire, the Intumescent strip rapidly expands to fill the air gap within the rainscreen void.

Other options from the support frame suppliers can be used. Please note that these will have different fixing requirements.

Alternatively, if a solid barrier is used then provision needs to be given to allow the air to exit the cavity below the barrier and re-enter the cavity above the barrier. At times the horizontal joint between the panels is used for this. These must be positioned close enough to prevent any dead-end space with no air movement and not to-close to allow any flames to exit and re-enter the cavity.



# Walls

#### Structural Wall

The backing wall is critical to the performance of a ventilated facade system. If air movement through the backing wall is too great then the risk of water penetration is increased. Air leakage through the backing wall also represents a path for energy loss, and so must be limited.

It is important for the designer to consider what fixing will be used to secure the panel's support frame. Some of the wind loading is transmitted back to the backing wall and this should be allowed for.



#### Masonry Wall

Depending on what is the predominant local material, masonry walls can consist of clay, lightweight (clinker) block, concrete blocks or indeed a solid cast-in-situ or precast concrete panels. The wall can either be a full self-supporting load bearing structure or an infill between floor beams and columns.

This type of wall may be existing or a new build. For renovation projects it is advisable that the project engineer checks all masonry walls to ascertain whether the wall is sound and can support the added load. Many fixing suppliers will perform a pull-out test on a wall to confirm it capabilities.



#### Lightweight Wall

A lightweight structure of metal or timber stud is another form of backing wall. This is commonly used as an infill wall between concrete floors. This type of wall may need special fixings to hold the frame within the main building structure. It is also possible to construct complete structures.

The face of the frame requires a panel such as Duripanel or a fibre cement building board to act as a "wind-shield". The board may be required to offer some frame racking resistance or fire resistance should be sized correctly. This wind-shield must be air tight. This can be achieved by using the correct wind-shield board and tapping the joints with suitable long lasting tape.

With this type of construction, consideration needs to be given to the best way to fix the EQUITONE's support framing. By fixing a horizontal rail or batten over the wind-shield and into the vertical studs the designer has the freedom to place the EQUITONE panel vertical support profiles anywhere. Therefore, the EQUITONE panel support profiles do not have to coincide with the structure studs. The space formed by these horizontal rails or battens can be utilised with the insertion of extra insulation.







#### Floor-to-Floor or Frame Wall

In this construction the rainscreen supporting framework is fixed to the primary structural elements such as the concrete floors. The framework needs to be designed to span the floor-to-floor height. The connectors or angle brackets that are fixed to the ends of the floors are specially designed by the supporting frame supplier. Note that depending on the wind-load the vertical support profiles will need to be increased in thickness to safely span between the floors. This system normally involves the construction of a separate inner wall.

#### Windows and Doors

Whether the main structural wall is a timber/metal lightweight frame or a massive masonry construction, the wall should be airtight especially around openings such as windows or doors.

Air tightness prevents moisture ingress and ensures the building remains thermally efficient. Fix the windows or doors to the backing wall and seal the edges with appropriate materials to reduce the risk of any moisture ingress.

#### Movement Joints

The term "movement joint" or "expansion joint" refers to the isolation joints provided within a building to permit the separate segments of the structural frame to expand and contract in response to temperature changes without adversely affecting the building's structural integrity. In simple terms they relieve any stress on the structure. Failure to incorporate these movement joint gaps into the structure will result in cracking under the stress.

The size and location of any movement joint is related to the choice of structural building materials and local climate. The ventilated façade has its own built in movement joints, with its combination of fixed and gliding points. However, the main building movement joints must be continued through the rainscreen. The ventilated façade cladding should not be fixed to both sides of the structural movement joint.



# Insulation



Lets not forget that insulation not only prevents heat loss from a building, saving on energy costs but in warmer countries it also can prevent the building gaining heat and can help reduce the energy needed for airconditioning.

#### Lambda Value

The most common characteristic is the lambda value  $[\lambda]$ . The lambda value is expressed as W/mK (Watts per metre Kelvin) and defines a materials ability to transmit heat. The lower the lambda value the better the insulation performance.

#### **U-Value**

This is a well known term. The U-value is expressed as W/m<sup>2</sup>K (Watts per metre squared Kelvin) and defines the ability of an element of a structure (such as a complete wall construction) to transmit heat under steady conditions. The lower this value the better the performance of the wall. For instance a 0.90 W/m<sup>2</sup>K rated wall is considered poor performance while a 0.15 W/m<sup>2</sup>K wall is very good. Each country has its own requirements and regulations and in some countries they are even local differences between one region and another.

Ideally, the insulation should be rigid, fireproof, water resistant and breathable. To meet these criteria a number of insulation suppliers have a proprietary board for ventilated facades. Each one has its own characteristics and level of performance. Those insulations that are suitable can be broken down and classified as mineral fibre, or foam based.

Insulation boards which can be considered are:

Mineral Fibre / Mineral Wool

Polyurethane (PUR, PIR)

Phenolic Foam

Foamglas



#### **Comparison of Insulation Types**

As well as the cost differences between the insulation boards, other factors such as fire resistance, condition of the backing wall, easy of use amongst others should also be considered when specifying the board.

One way to look at insulation and its properties is to compare thicknesses. For a typical wall with concrete blocks these are the thicknesses of insulation required to reach a U-value of 0.30 W/ m<sup>2</sup>K. Therefore, a lower lambda rated insulation allows for thinner insulation to achieve a rating when compared to higher lambda insulations.

Product		AD	Required thickness in mm to achieve U = 0.3 W/m²K insulation material
FG	Foamglas	0.041	135
SW-RW	Rockwool	0.038	125
GW	Glasswool	0.037	122
PUR	Polyurethane	0.024	79
PIR	Polyisocyanurate	0.023	76
PF	Phenolic foam	0.022	66

#### Securing the Insulation

It is important that the insulation is securely fixed in place and remains there for the lifetime of the façade. If the insulation moves or falls away from the wall then there is a risk that the cavity will become partially or completely blocked, therefore eliminating the benefits of the ventilated façade. In addition to the heat loss or gain that would occur via these gaps there is also an increased risk of condensation and mould growth. It is also important that the insulation has no gaps at its joints and fits tightly around the supporting frame to reduce heat loss and the effect of thermal bridging.

While each insulation manufacturer has their own requirements for fixing their insulation boards, an average of 5 fixings per m<sup>2</sup> is normally used. An alternative to mechanical fixing is the use of special adhesives. In most countries there is a requirement that a minimum one fixing per m<sup>2</sup> is a non-combustible type. This will prevent detachment of the insulation in the event of a fire and reduce the risk of damage to the structure.









#### Thermal Bridge

In a building, areas such as where the floor meets the external wall or where an internal wall meets the external wall, can result in the phenomenon of Thermal Bridging. However, by placing the insulation on the outside of the external wall this phenomenon is eliminated. This is one of the main benefits ventilated facades bring to the building.

Another form of thermal bridging can also be created when materials that are poor thermal insulators come into contact with each other, allowing heat to flow through the path of least resistance. Thermal bridging is not only the loss of heat from the inside of a building, but is also the gaining of heat from the outside particularly in warm countries.

Ventilated facade supporting frames require that metal brackets which penetrate the insulation layer can lead to thermal bridges; however this can be reduced by suitable bracket design. Adding extra insulation around a bridge offers only a little assistance in preventing heat loss or gain due to thermal bridging.



The most common solution used today is to place a "Thermostop" between the metal angle bracket and the backing wall. This thermostop is a piece of rigid strong PVC which is predrilled to suit the angle bracket. It breaks the bridge, therefore preventing the passage of heat. This is illustrated in the thermal modelling pictures below. The blue and green areas show the higher heat loss while the yellow. areas perform better.



Temperature distribution of aluminium bracket without (left) and with (right) a thermostop (thermal separator)

While these thermostops are more than adequate for today's requirements, insulation and supporting frame manufacturers are altering their designs and developing new ways to reduce or even eliminate the heat loss or gain.







#### General

The maps shown in this section are

only indicative and local more detailed information must be used when designing the facade.

#### EU Climate

The climate of Europe is of a temperate, continental nature, with a maritime climate prevailing on the western coasts and a Mediterranean climate in the south. The climate is strongly conditioned by the Gulf Stream, which keeps mild air over the high latitude north-western region over the winter months, especially in Ireland, the UK and coastal Norway. Whilst Western Europe has an oceanic climate, Eastern Europe has a drier continental climate. Parts of the Central European plains have a hybrid oceanic/continental climate. Four seasons occur in Eastern Europe, while southern Europe experiences distinct wet season and dry seasons, with prevailing hot and dry conditions during the summer months. The heaviest precipitation occurs downwind of water bodies due to the prevailing westerly's, with higher amounts also seen in the Alps

#### Seismic

While minor earthquakes are not uncommon in Europe, large earthquakes which make the headlines are rare in central, western, or northern Europe as these occur mainly in southern and eastern areas.

Therefore in certain parts of Europe consideration to seismic activity should be given to the design of the façade. Local regulations must be adhered to. This can involve adjusting the design of the main building structure.

Refer to Eurocode 8 "Design of structures for earthquake resistance" for further information.





#### Earthquake hazard potential



High hazard Very high hazard Non ESPON space

> Source: European Spatial Planning Observation Network (ESPON)



## Wind

Wind load is one of the factors caused by climatic conditions, which has a variable effect on buildings. Firstly, the building location will be considered and then the building design.

#### Building Location

The key factors influencing the extent of the wind load are those of the location with the local wind climate and the topography. The wind climate is recorded in the Eurocode 1 using a wind zone map, which provides a time-weighted average wind speed for various geographic regions. The topography and nature of the site surrounding the building location are provided in the standards through the terrain categories.

#### Effects of Terrain or Topography

Terrain has a strong influence on local wind speeds. Wind blowing over smooth terrain, such as grass or water will maintain its strength and have little turbulence. As the wind blows over rougher terrain, such as towns and cities, the wind speed is reduced due to the frictional drag at the surface but at the same time the turbulence in the wind increases.

#### Proximity to the sea

Wind and driving rain can increase the closer the building is to the coast. Another consideration the designer needs to address is the choice of materials. Not all materials are suitable for use next to the sea. For example, it is advised to use stainless steel fasteners instead of aluminium.

#### Building Design - Design for wind loading During the design process the engineer will refer to standards and regulations such as the Eurocodes or national standards.

An Engineer can confirm the dynamic pressures of the wind (including the appropriate pressure coefficients for the building) in accordance with EN 1991-1-4. This is then used to calculate the effective wind speed and dynamic wind pressure on the building envelope, by applying a series of factors to account for terrain, topography, building height and length etc. The spacing of the façade's supporting frame is determined by calculation once the wind forces on the structure have been determined. This is normally carried out by the support frame supplier and then approved by the engineer.



Source: ESDEP WG







#### Wind flow around buildings

All buildings obstruct the free flow of the wind, causing it to be deflected and accelerated, resulting in complex flow patterns. When a wind strikes the building, it will give rise to pushing or positive pressures on the windward face and suction or negative pressures on the sides and leeward face of the building. The negative pressures on the side walls will generally be greater at the front end and reduce further back along the building towards the rear. This means that the wind is trying to pull the panels off the wall. This is known as "wind-loading" and is normally expressed as KN/m<sup>2</sup>.

## Façade Design

Where open joints are utilised between the cladding panels, a proportion of the external wind pressure is able to leak through the cladding to act directly on the building wall, relieving the loads on the cladding.

## **External Corners**

External corners are one of the most vulnerable areas to wind. As well as the wind pulling the panel from the outside, the back of the panel can be also subjected to pushing from the cavity. To counteract this, a continuous vertical cavity closer can be introduced so that the wind pressures are separated. Another solution is to use additional fasteners and fix extra supports on both sides of the corners of the facade.

## **Building Shape**

The shape of the building has an effect on how the wind pressures are distributed. Recesses, overhanging areas, roof gardens and terraces will have a local effect on wind pressures.

## Effects of Building height

Wind speed increases with height above ground, it follows therefore that the taller the building the greater the wind speeds acting on it. Of course if the building is surrounded by similar tall buildings the wind effect may not be as great. A low rise building on an open flat site may have as many design considerations as a tall building.

#### Interaction between buildings

Should a tall building have a lower building upwind from it, then, depending on their relative dimensions and separation distance, the ground level wind speeds in front of the tall building can be magnified. Where a tall building is surrounded by closely spaced low rise buildings the windward vortex can still cause high wind speeds around the lower building.

## Funnelling

Wind funnelling and flow acceleration can occur when there are gaps between the buildings. The distance between the building facades is a factor in determining the increased speed and pressure.

#### Aircraft vortices

Cladding near airports can experience higher local wind load forces due to air vortices being created by certain aircraft when taking off and landing, which may be greater than the normal calculated values. These forces need to be considered in any calculation.













track. Maintain required movement joint distance between drywall screws and leg

Prepunched cut-out for expansion anchor



# **STRUCTURAL POSSIBILITIES**

The HAR project is to be proposed with a combined structure of Reinforced Concrete Frame + Reinforced Concrete Shear Walls for bracing, both acting as the main load bearing systems of the project, along with a Reinforced Concrete Slab.

# **STRUCTURAL PRECEDANT STUDIES**



1. General Cast-in-Place Costs \_\_\_\_\_a. Substructure: \$150 to \$400/CY (40%M and 60%L) \_\_\_\_b. Superstructure: \$500 to \$1100/CY (30%M and 70%L) 2. Concrete: Consists of (using the general 1-2-3 mix, 1 part cement, 2 parts sand, and 3 parts rock, plus water): \_\_\_\_a. Portland cement (1) Type I: Normal for general construction. (2) Type II: Modified for a lower heat of hydration, for large structures or warm weather. (3) Type III: Modified for high, early strength, where forms must be removed as soon as possible, such as high-rise construction or cold weather. (4) Type IV: Modified for low heat for very large structures. (5) Type V: Modified for sulfate resistance. (6) Types IA, IIA, or IIIA: Air-entrained to resist frost.

- b. Fine aggregate (sand): <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" or smaller.
- 8). Slump is a measure of this:



3. Structural Characteristics (Primer) \_\_\_\_a. Strength

## AVERAGE PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

	ELAST (P	LASTICUMIT U (PPSI)		ULTIMATE STRENGTH (PSI)		ALLOWABLE WORL UNIT STREES (PS					1
MATERIAL	TEN- SION	COMP- RESS.	TEN- SION	COMP- RESS.	SHEAR	TEN- SION	COMP. REFSA	SHEAR	EXTR. FUSER	MODUL	Velo Levie
CONCRETE				2500			1125	75		3000H	150

\_\_\_\_\_c. Course aggregate (rock and gravel): ¼" to 2".

\_\_\_\_\_d. Clean water: Just enough to permit ready working of mix into forms. Mix should not slide or run off a shovel. Major factor effecting strength and durability is the water-cement ratio, expressed as gallons of water per sack of cement (usually ranging from 5 to

- \_\_\_\_b. Bending
  - (1) Concrete is strong in compression but has little dependable tensile strength. Steel is strong in tension. When they are combined in a reinforced concrete bending member, such as a beam or slab, the concrete resists compression and the steel resists the tension. Thus, the reinforcing must be located at the tension face of the member.



Reinforcing splices in continuous-top reinforcing are usually located at midspan. Splices at bottom reinforcing are usually located over supports.

(2) Reinforcing: Steel bars start at #2s, which are ¼" dia. Sizes go up to #11s, with each size an added ¼". All bars are deformed except #2s. A common problem is trying to cram too many bars into too small a section.

HAIN RIBS INITIAL OF MILL BAR SIZE TYPE STEEL GRADE

	ENGLISH		METRIC	
GRADES :				
	40		300	
	60		420	
	75		520	
SIZESS	SIZE	PLAMETER (IN)	SIZE	DIAMETER (MM
	#3	0.375	#10	9,5
	#4	0.500	#13	12.7
	#5	0.625	#16	15.9
	#6	0.750	# 19	19,1
1	#7	0.875	# 22	22.2
	#8	1.000	#25	254
	#9	1.128	#29	28.7
	#10	1.270	#32	32.3
	#11	1,410	#36	35.8
	#14	4.693	#43	43.0
1	#18	2.257	#57	57.3



2	1	2
_	Т	J

(a) When concrete fails in shear it is generally due to a tension failure along a diagonal line.



Vertical steel "stirrups" or diagonal bars are often used to tie the top and bottom parts together across the potential crack and prevent failure. This steel must be placed accurately in the field.

(b) The weakness of concrete in diagonal tension leads to problems with keys and construction joints.





(4) Bond: Reinforcing lap splices need to be long enough to bond with the concrete. These splices close to the surface are weak, so reinforcing needs to be centered or kept clear of surfaces. In general, bars should not be lap-spliced at points of maximum stress.



(a) In columns, both the concrete and the steel can work in compression.

(b) Bars need steel ties to keep them from buckling outward. Also, closely spaced ties help confine the concrete against breaking apart.

(c) 90° hooks are often used, but should not be used in seismic areas. The best anchor for the end of a tie is a 135° hook around the rebar and back into the concrete.

(d) The ultimate in tying bars against outward buckling and confining concrete against breaking apart is the spirally reinforced column.

(e) Reinforcing is often lap-spliced at floor levels.

(6) Concrete shrinks: Details must allow for this. Try to avoid locking fresh concrete between two immovable objects. Pouring sequences should consider this problem.

(a) Differs from ordinary, reinforced concrete in that prestressing steel is under a very high tension, compressing the concrete together, before any load is placed on the member. This strengthens the concrete in shear as well as bending. This requires very high-strength steel which is impractical in ordinary reinforced concrete but results in large steel savings.

(b) Posttensioning involves tightening the rods or cables after the concrete is poured and cured. This concentrates a large stress at each end of the cables and requires special care (bearing plates, special hardware, reinforcing, etc.) to prevent failure at these points. If an end connection fails in unbonded posttensioning, there's no reinforcing strength left!
- (c) Pretensioning has none of these "all the eggs in one basket" problems. Pretensioning lends itself to precast, plant-produced members, while posttensioning lends itself to work at the job site.
- (d) Problems
  - \_\_\_\_1. Continuing shrinkage is the most common problem with prestressed concrete. All details must consider longterm shrinkage.
  - \_\_\_\_2. Notches in precast tees at bearing may cause problems. Use quality bearing pads.
- 4. <u>Testing</u>: Typical design compressive strengths are f'c =2500 to 3000 psi. To be sure of actual constructed strengths, compressive cylinder tests are made:

7-Day Break	28-Day Break				
60 to 70% of final strength	Final strength				

The UBC requires average of three tests to meet or exceed f'c. No test must fall below f'c by 500 or more psi.

5. Finishes: Different wall finishes can be achieved by:

Туре	Cost
a. Cast shapes and textures	\$3.30 to \$6.00/SF
<u>b</u> . Abrasive treatment (bush hammering, etc.)	\$1.50 to \$5.00/SF
c. Chemical retardation (exposed aggregate, etc.)	\$0.80/SF







CAST

BUGH HAMMERED

EXPOSED AGGREG

### **B. FOUNDATIONS**

### 1. Functions

- \_\_\_\_\_a. Transfers building loads to ground \_\_\_\_\_ c. Isolates the building from frost heaving \_\_\_\_\_d. Isolates building from expansive soils

### 2. Types





\_ 3. <u>Types</u>

\_\_\_\_a. Spread Footings: Used for most buildings where the loads are light and/or there are strong, shallow soils. At columns, they are a single "spot" square directly bearing on the soils. Bearing walls have an elongation of the above. These are almost always of reinforced concrete.





\_\_\_\_\_b. Anchors the building against wind and seismic loads \_\_\_\_\_e. Holds building above or from ground moisture \_\_\_\_\_f. Retards heat flow to or from conditioned space \_\_\_\_\_g. Provides storage space (basements)  $\underline{}$  *h*. Provides living space (basements) \_\_\_\_*i*. Houses mechanical systems (basements)

<u>a. Slab-on-grade</u> <u>b. Crawl space</u> <u>c. Basement</u>



\_\_\_\_\_b. Grade Beams: These are used where upper soils are weak. They take wall loads and transfer them over to column foundations as if the beam were in the air. They are of reinforced concrete. Where soils are expansive, forms are sometimes hollow at the bottom to allow for soil heave without lifting the beam. This system is usually used with drilled piers at the columns.

\_\_\_\_\_c. Drilled Piers or Caissons: These are used for heavy loads and/or where the soil is weak down to a stronger depth. These are almost always of reinforced concrete.



- \_\_\_\_\_d. *Piles:* These are used for heavy loads and/ or where deep soils are weak. End bearing piles are driven down to a deep bearing stratum. Friction piles are used where there is no reasonable bearing stratum and they are driven crete, or steel (with concrete fill). \_\_\_\_e. Rafts or Mats: Rein
  - forced concrete rafts or mats can be used for small, light-load buildings on very weak and expansive clays. These are often post-tensioned concrete. They can also be used at the bottom

4. <u>Depths</u> (spread to	otings) shou
(see App. B, item C	2):
a. No Freeze	1'-6"
<u> </u>	2'-6"
$\c. +10^{\circ}F$	3'-0"
$\underline{} d.  0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$	3'-6"
<u> </u>	4'-0"
$f20^{\circ}F$	4'-6"
5. Differential Settle	ement: ¼" to





until a certain amount of resistance (from skin friction against the soil) is obtained to counteract the column load. Piles can be timber, reinforced con-



of subbasements of very large buildings where there are deep mushy soils. Either way, they allow the building to "float" on or in the soil, like a raft or ship.

4 Depths (spread footings) should be at or below frost line

1/1"

220

- Steel H Piles, end-bearing, 100k, 50' long, \$1285/ea. Steel-step tapered, end-bearing, 50k, 50' long,
- \$735/ea.
- Treated wood pile, 3 ea. in cluster, end-bearing, 50k, 25' long, \$1620/ea. group.
- Pressure-injected footings, end-bearing, 50k, 50' long, \$1550/ea.
  - \_\_\_\_(3) <u>Mat foundations:</u> For poor soil conditions and tall buildings (10 to 20 stories) with their overturning moments, a mat foundation is required. A mat foundation is a large mass of concrete laid under the entire building. Mat foundations range from 4' to 8' thick.

### Approximate cost: See p. 285.

### \_\_\_\_ 2. Concrete Superstructure \_\_\_\_a. <u>Concrete slabs</u> (1) <u>Slab-on-grade:</u> General rule on paving slabs is that depth should be 1/2 to ½ of average annual frost penetration. Typical thickness: Floors 4" 5" Garage Floors 5" Terraces 6" to 8" Driveways Sidewalks 4" to 6"

Approximate cost of 4" reinforced slab is \$2.50 to \$3.00/SF. For rock base see p. 277. For vapor barrier see p. 377. For compacted subgrade see p. 250. For termite treatment see p. 268.

> (2) <u>Reinforced concrete slabs in the air</u>: For general span-to-depth ratios, see p. 180. (a) One-way slab \_\_\_\_ Usual spans: 6' to 20' \_ Typical SDR: 20 for simple spans 28 for continuous spans



### Approximate costs of \$8.80/SF (15' bays, 40 psf) to \$10.80/SF (25' bays, 125 psf). 25%M and 75%L.



bays, 125 psf). 35%M & 65%L.



bays, 125 psf). 40% M and 60% L.

SLAB REINFORCING VAFOR BARRIER BAGE SUBGRADE

0

### (b) Two-way flat plate slabs

\_\_\_\_ Flat plate

- Usual spans of 20' to 30'.
- Usual thickness: 6" to 12"
- Usual maximum ratio of long to short side of bay: 1.33.
- Typical ratio of span to depth: 30.
- Another common rule is to allow 1" thickness for each 3' of span.

(c) Flat slab with drop panels

- \_\_\_\_ Usual spans: 25' to 36'
- Usual thickness: 6" to 12"
- \_\_\_\_ Usual maximum ratio of long to short side of bay: 1.33
- Side of drop panels:  $+/-\frac{1}{3}$  span
- Typical ratios of span to depth 24 to 30

# Approximate costs of \$9.25/SF (15' bays, 40 psf) to \$14/SF (35'

(d) Two-way waffle slabs

- \_\_\_\_Longer 2-way spans and heavier load capacity.
- Usual spans: 25' to 40'.
- Standard pan sizes: 20" to 30" square with other sizes available. Standard pan depths 8" to 20" in 2" increments.
- Usual maximum ratio of long to short side of bay is 1.33.
- Typical ratio of span to depth: 25 - 30.

# Approximate cost of \$13/SF (20' bays, 40 psf) to \$16.30/SF (40'



- (e) Precast, prestressed concrete planks Thickness of 6" to 12" in 2" increments.
  - Spans of 8' to 36'.
  - Span-to-depth ratio of approximately 30 to 40. 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" to 2" conc. topping often
  - used for floors.

Approximate cost of \$7.20/SF for 6" thick (85% M and 15% L) with 35% variation higher or lower. Add \$0.20 for each added 2". Add \$2.00/SF for topping.



(1) Precast concrete I beams (prestressed) (a) Typical beam thickness of 12" to

- 16".
- (b) Spans range from 20' to 100'.
- (c) Approximate ratios of span to depth of 15 to 25.

Approximate cost of \$54.75/LF for 15' span and \$240/LF for 55' span (90% M and 10% L) with variations of 20% higher or lower.

- (2) Prestressed T beams (single and double tees)
  - (a) Typical flange widths of  $\frac{1}{2}$  to  $\frac{1}{3}$  the effective depth (8' to 10').
  - (b) Usual spans of 20' to 120'.
  - (c) Approximate ratio of span-todepth ratio: 24 to 32.
  - (d) Usually has  $1\frac{1}{2}$ " to 2" concrete topping for floors.

Approximate cost of double tee 2' deep  $\times$  8' wide with 35' to 80' span is \$5.25 to \$6.75/SF (90% M and 10% L) with variation of 10% higher or lower.



### Approximate cost of P.C. beam, 20' span is \$60.00/LF (70% M and 30% L). Cost can go 3 times higher with 45' spans and heavy loads.



section dimension.

### Approximate cost of \$45 to \$85/LF (30% M and 70% L). Use lower number for single-story, min. loads, and min. reinforcing.





### (3) Concrete beams and joists.

pported	=	16
continuous	=	18.5
s continuous	=	21
r	=	8

Width should be  $\frac{1}{2}$  to  $\frac{1}{4}$  the total depth.

(1) Round columns usually 12" minimum.

(2) Rectangular: 12 in sq. minimum.

(3) Usual minimum rectangular tied columns

(4) Square or round spiral columns: 14"; add 2"

(5) Most columns are "short": maximum height 10 times least cross-section dimension. Typical column height is 12.5' for multistory

(6) Maximum unbraced height for "engineered" long columns: 20 times least cross-

(a) Multistory: 8" top 15', add 1" for each successive 25' down.

(b) Basements: 8" minimum.

(c) Nonbearing: Minimum thickness 6". Maximum ratio of unsupported height: 25 to 30.

Minimum thickness:  $5^{1}/2^{"}$ .

Maximum ratio of unbraced length to thickness: 45.

### A. MASONRY MATERIALS

### Approximate cost of \$15.35/SF for 6", reinf. wall (65% M and 35% L) with variation of 35% higher for special finishes. Add \$.85 per each added inch thickness.

(2) <u>"Tilt-up"</u> (on site precast) (a) Height-to-thickness ratio: 40 to 50. (b) Typical heights: 22' to 35'. (c) Typical thickness:  $5\frac{1}{2}$  to 8". (d) Typical use: Favorable climate, 20,000 SF size building or larger. Time and material savings can cut  $cost \approx \frac{1}{2}$ , depending on height and area, compared to masonry.

### Costs: \$7 to \$12/SF (45% M and 55% L), costs can double for special finishes. Add $\approx 30\%$ for concrete columns.

### A. SIZE



### AVERAGE PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

	ELAST (P		Ultimate Strength (PSI)				WABLE STRES	OF (PSI)	÷ ü		
MATERIAL	TEN- SION	COMP.	TEN- SION	COMP-	SHEAR	TEN- SION	COMP. RESS	Shear	EXTR. FIBER BEND,	HODUE	VEIG (LB./G
ADOBE				300-			30	8			110
BRICK				2800		800	100-0	50	2500		120
C.M.U.	1			1500		500	300	38	1900		145
STONE	1			2500			200 - 400	8			145

- of its width. ulate columns and beams. 3. Bonds \_\_\_\_\_a. Structural (method of laying units together): (1) Overlapping units.
  - (3) Grout and mortar:

\_\_\_\_(2) Unfired ("adobe") \_\_\_\_\_b. Concrete block (concrete masonry units or CMU)

\_\_\_\_\_b. Load centers on masonry must lie within the center  $\frac{1}{3}$ 

\_\_\_\_\_c. Reinforcing: Like concrete, masonry is strong in compression, but weak in tension. Therefore, steel reinforcement must usually be added to walls to sim-

(1) Like *columns:* Vertical bars @ 2' to 4' oc.

(2) Like beams: "Bond Beams" @ 4' to 8' oc.

(3) Also, added horizontal wire reinforcement (ladder or truss type) @ 16" oc. vertically, to help resist lateral forces and cracking.

(2) Metal ties (should be galvanized with zinc coating of 2 oz/SF, or stainless steel). Wire ties usually @ every 3 SF, or ...

> Metal anchors, usually at 16" oc, vertical and 24" oc, horizontal.

\_\_\_(a) Grout: A "soup" of sand, cement, water, and often pea gravel that encases reinforcing bars. Usually 2000 psi comp. strength. Always poured in cavities with high slump. Except for adobe brick, the mortar is considerably weaker than the bricks or blocks. The mortar is more elastic amd its joints shrink more. See p. 285.

- (b) Mortar: Stiffer mix of sand, cement, lime, and water, to bond units together by trowel work. Types:
  - \_\_\_ N General purpose, medium strength, for above grade.
  - \_\_\_\_ M High strength, for high compression, above-grade.
  - \_\_\_\_S High strength, for compression and tension.
  - \_\_\_O High lime, low strength, easily workable for veneers not subject to freezing.
- K Low strength, for interiors.





movement. See p. 394. =
nt due to moisture: = Bricks expand; should be aid wet.
Concrete blocks shrink like concrete; should be laid dry. Plus,
tion tolerance. =
Total width $=$
f walls: 20' to 25' oc. returns, and intersections. s: One side of opening, less than 6' wide. Two sides of opening, greater than 5' wide.
other materials.
t metal today for embedment in tainless steel, followed by bronze, ost structural embedments remain
p. 436) ble" (seal cracks)

(b) FBS Standard (c) FBA Architectural

(1) NW Negligible weathering; for indoor or sheltered locations and warm climates. (2) MW Moderate weather locations.

			55%	10 4/61	=,		1101		=	10-		16"	10"		116"	16"	=	116"	16"	_	5/16"	1.6=	=	5/15 H	1.6	11
	4	5/3"	5	0	1-4	1,91/2 6.1	21-2 1/101	18-12	31-15/101	31-6116	41-0"	41-55%6"	4-10 1/101	5-4"	51.9 5/16"	61-21/161	6-8"	1,01/51-12	71-6116	81.01	81-55/16	8-101/10	9-4"	di 9 5/10 H	101.24/16	1
	OF BRIC	4"	4"	B_	", o"	4=	1,81	21°01	21.4"	2181	31-01	3-4"	31-81	4'-0"	41 4"	4'-8"	51011	5'-4"	51.8"	61.011	61.4"	61-84	11-0"	744"	71.8"	
AR	(Height)	31/5"	3%6	<b>  発</b> 9	1%6	1-013/6"	1-4"	119/1011	14 10 3/8"	1-12	21-413/16"	1.8-12	11 mk 11-12	34-236"	31-55%"	31-8 13/10	4-0"	41-43/16"	4-636"	41.95%	5'-0'3/16"	51-4"	51.73%	19/201-15	12/21-10	1
MODULAR	Nominal Thickness (Height) of 1981ck	1.64 2	2 146"	5%	180	1011/101	119/41-1	1-4"	12.6146"	1-95/6"	21-0"	21211/161	21-55/16"	21-8"	21-10146"	31-15/6"	31.4"	31,6416"	31.95/6"	41.0"	4-2 1/10"	4-55/10	41.8"	4'- 10 1/16"	121-1210	
1	NOWINAL .	2"	2"	4"	ē	<u>م</u>	101	1-0 <sup>4</sup>	1-2ª	1-4"	1-6"	1' &"	11 IO"	21.04	1212	21-41	21.6"	21.8"	21 10"	31.01	31.2"	31-411	31.64	31.8"	31.10"	
-		TNIOL "24	314"	1249	934	11 11	1'- 4 ½ "	1-71/2"	1. 10 34"	21. 2"	2-514"	21.81/2"	21-11 34"	31-31	31-61/4"	31-91/2"	4-034"	4-4	4'-7 1/4"	4'-10 1/2"	5-134"	51.5"	51-81/4"	54-11 1/2"	6-234"	
	234"THICK BRICKS	TUNAL"BE	38"	614"	1%6	11-01/211	1-35%"	1-63/4"	1- 9 7/8"	51-11	21-418"	21-744"	1.8/2 01-12	31-12"	31-45%	31-73/4"	31, 10 7/8"	41.2"	4-518"	41.844"	4'-11 3/8"	51.242"	11845-15	51-83/4"	18/2 11 7/8"	
~	BRICKS		3%"	614"	1.9%6	1-01/21	1-35%	1-634	11-976"	5t l''	21-41/8"	21-714"	1-10 3/11	31-11/2"	31-45%	31-734"	34-1076"	41-211	4-5%	4-84"	4-11 38"	51-242"	51-55%"	51-83/4"	11 201 201	
NMODULAR	25%"THICK BRICKS	74"-DINT	77	6	11-	1-0"	11-31	1-61	1-91	21-011	21.3"	2'-6"	16-12	31-01	31.31	3'-6"	31-9"	41-011	4-3"	4'-6"	41.9"	10-15	51.311	51-611	51, 9 <sup>11</sup>	
NON	-	12" JOINTES	1762	51/21	814"	n [1	1-134"	1.4%	1-714"	1-101	21-034"	21-342"	2'-6/4"	21-911	24.11 3/4"	31-242"	31-51/4"	3-81	361034"	41-112"	41-414"	1-1=	41-93/4"	51-01/211	51.3/4"	
3	2 1/4" THICK BRICKS	10111 "8"	182	5 14"	18/2 L	101/11	1-11/21	1-334	1-63/81	1-91	17 11 548"	21.214"	21-47/8"	171L-12	21-101/8"	3-03/4"	31.3361	3-6"	31.85%"	31-11/4"	1-17/8"	41-442"	41-748"	41-934"	1760-19	
39	20		+1	2	6	4	10	0	7	00	9	0	=	2	2	4	Ū		E	3	6	a	5	22	23	1



- \_\_\_\_8. Concrete Block (CMU)
  - \_\_\_\_\_a. Types: Plain (gray), colored, pavers, special shapes (such as "slump"), and special surfaces (split faced, scored, etc.).
  - \_\_\_\_\_b. Size: See p. 314.
  - $\_c.$  Coursing: See p. 315.

### ADICK COURSINC

313



- \_\_\_\_1. General
  - \_\_\_\_\_a. Vapor can penetrate walls and roof by:
    - (1) Diffusion—vapor passes through materials due to:
      - (a) Difference in vapor pressure between inside and outside.
      - (b) Permeability of construction materials.
    - (2) Air leakage by:
      - (a) Stack effect
      - (b) Wind pressure
      - (c) Building pressure
  - b. Vapor is not a problem until it reaches its dew point and condenses into moisture, causing deterioration in the building materials of wall, roof, and floor assemblies.
- 2. Vapor Barriers: Should be placed on the warm or humid side of the assembly. For cold climates this will be toward the inside. For warm, humid climates, this will be toward the outside. Barriers are also often put under slabs-on-grade to protect flooring from ground moisture.

Vapor barriers are measured by perms (grains/SF/hr/inch mercury vapor pressure difference). One grain equals about one drop of water. For a material to qualify as a vapor barrier, its perm rate must be 1.0 or less. A good perm rate for foil laminates, polyethylene sheets, etc. equals 0.1 or less (avoid aluminum foil against mortar). See p. 381 for perms of various materials. Care must be taken against puncturing the barrier.





Other methods are elastomeric coatings on interior wallboard in cold climates and at exterior masonry or stucco walls in hot, wet climates. See p. 436 for coatings. Care must be taken to caulk all joints and cracks (see p. 394). 3. Roof Vapor Retarders \_\_\_\_a. As a general guide, vapor retarders should be considered for use when: (1) The outside, mean, average January temperature is below 40°F. (2) The expected winter, interior, RH is 45% or greater. b. Vapor retarders generally fall into two classes: (1) Bituminous membranes: A typical 2-ply installation using 3 moppings of steep asphalt rates at less than .005 perms. (2) Sheet systems, with sealed laps, such as PVC films, kraft paper, or alum. foil, with perm ratings ranging from 0.10 to 0.50. When vapor is a concern in top of deck insulation, moisture relief vents (preferably one-way) at a min. of one per 1000 SF should be considered. F. EXTERIOR INSULATION AND FINISHING SYSTEMS (EIFS) SUBSTRATE 1. Exterior Insulation and Finishing Systems (EIFS) RIGID provide a stucco appearance using exterior insulation. They involve a combination of exteriorapplied synthetic stucco on rigid insulation on a substrate (see item 32 on p. 200). Substrate can be masonry, gypsum board,

- plywood, etc. 2. Rigid insulation is typi-
- in an adhesive.

Costs: For 1" board \$8.00/SF (30% M and 70% L), + 30% variation. Add \$0.20/SF for each added 1" of insulation.

231



cally expanded polystyrene (R/in. = 4.17) of 1" up to 4" thickness, and is usually applied by adhesive.

3. Synthetic stucco is applied after a fiber mesh is embedded

# **U VALUE CALCULATION**

Calculations		U
Construction type	Floors Walls Pitched Roof Flat Roof	U-value 0.17
Floor Type	Ground floor	W/m <sup>2</sup> ·K
Floor Sub-Type	Solid concrete - Insulation below slab 🔹	Kingspan Kooltherm K103 Floorboard 🕝
PA Ratio ?	0.1 🗸	
Insulation Thickness	25mm 30mm +	
Tick here if you would like to rece construction build-up. ?	ve the BIM Object for this EMAIL ME THIS	



# ANNEX C STRUCTURE DPT. STUDY BREIF

After the analysis of similar examples and precedant studies, HAR initiated five structural concept after which, the most efficient structure would be chosen to proceed.





# **1. RC SKELETON FRAME**

# VERENDEEL STEEL TRUSS

# **3. PERPENDICULAR SHEAR WALLS**

# **4. PEREMETRIC RC SHEAR WALLS**



The fifth alternative was selected to be the structural framework of the HAR project, for Building C + Buildling D, the system is a hybrid of two RC elements, a skeleton frame, and shear walls. This system was selected due to its efficiency for this project when compared to the other alternatives, as it provides the flexibility within the inner spaces that the skeleton fram offers, and also the good bracing that the shear walls suggest. This option would be optimum for the HAR function.

# STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS







# **ANNEX D** PROJECT MANAGEMENT STUDY BREIF

















# **USER GROUPS OF THE HAR COMPLEX**

### 1. Clerks:

Those who run the building and the main functions of the building residing in the offices. + the technicians that keep the building maintened. They make up to 15% of the user types. This category includes the officers at the control room/tower at Building D. This category subcategorize into the Office Clerks, Technicians, Cleaners and a Manager, and Janitors.

### 2. Paragliders and Pilots:

This user group are those who put the Airfield port and the paragliding decks to their use, they make up to 5% of the total user types count of the HAR complex. The Pilots tend to use the two Hangars, Building A and B. Though the paragliders do not tend to use the

# **GOALS OF CONSTRUCTION OF HAR COMPLEX**

The building aims to achieve multiple goals among which political goals which are to stronger bonds withing the European Union, as the project aims to host the activities EGU (the European Gliding Union). The project does also aim to attract more users to this beautiful natural feature in the city of Budapest, there comes the economic goal, which is actually a main goal, since the building offers services to the public which is around 80% of the people visiting the site, and also the 15% of the users that are employees which means it is providing their families a living. One of the main target user group of HAR Complex is the customers, discussed in the second part of the previous chapter in the user types, as Clerks that form 15% of the user types, the Customers, that make up around 50% (the 60% of the the Public Users in the whole project's ration).

The operational time and working hours are as following: 8:00 AM - 6:00 PM working hours and the building is open (indoor) 7:00 PM - 11:59 PM the landscape of the building will be open to the public as public space or/ park.

# **COSTS** OF THE WHOLE CONSTRUCTION PROJECT

The HAR Complex overall construction cost is to be estimated around 6,303,329 Euro which equivalent to 2,051,368,211 HUF, The 7500 sqm plot in District II is roughly estimated to be worth of 779,793 Euro would be provided by the Ministry of Planning in Hungary (Hungarian Goverment).

Although the cost of construction is mostly dependent on the quality of the materials used for the construction and their originating location, and as discussed before, for the project to succeed it is better to consume locally available materials and assign local experienced labor. The Structure of the project, on the other side, the skeleton frame and structural shering walls would form the highest concern in the cost estimation process. Not to mention that the preparation period would not cost a lot of money, since it was a competition held by BME. Therefore, there are not a lot of cost measures to be taken into consideration in an extra manner.

buildings directly unless they are using the public facilities like everyone else. such as those in Building C and D.

### 3. Public Users:

Are those who may come to the building to enjoy the public facilities within the landscape + cafe + Spa and entertainment activities at Building C and D. The make up the majority of the user types, up to 80%. Off this percentage, up to 60% would be benifiting and bringing benifits to the commercial zones within HAR.

С



# SCHEDULE OF CONSTRUCTION OF HAR COMPLEX

The schedule of the HAR Complex is to be set into two main phases that is broken down in Annex D, the Gantt Chart, the two main stages are composed: Phase One, which is the Planning Phase of which, the preparation for, must begin on January 10, 2020, and that in turn breaks down into two sub-categories, a) Research Phase, and b) Design Development. In which both sub-phases would take around around a year and a half. The second phase would be the Contracting and Construction which must start at the end of the first phases which ends in July 30 2021, which would last at least a good 450 days. So its fair to say that the schedule consists of two main milestones having to start with the planning phase and getting the necessary funds, so the construction could start which marks the second milestone of the project until the skeleton frame is erected which in turn allows other activities to be started, the second milestone fades as the construction process comes to an end. The factors that could affect the time estimation the most is just as any other project, the comitment of the contractors and supervisors within the construction phase, through transporting the materials to the site and carrying out other activities could be said to be the most challenging aspect in the time estimation, let alone the weather conditions and other typical project delaying factors, that must be taken into account

## **CIRCUMSTANCES** OF THE CONSTRUCTION PROJECT

As the project was through a held competition (which is the way how most of big projects are done in Hungary) the whole process was very clear at most of the times, and the guide of the consultants and people in charge was mostly technical and precised, especially that it had many goals to acheive.

If we skip that part and talk merely as if what could have happened if the project would be constructed, we would talk about the poor infrastructure and how to connect that into the selected site to build, as mentioned before multiple times, the suburban district of Pirzin is not so richly planned. As believed this would be the biggest challenge, from getting all the governmental approvals and the funds that would only be spent on providing services that must have been already there, from electricity, sanitation to other necessary services. Another problem that the project might face is the unreasonable delays in schedule that might happen because of delayed paperwork or lag in the funding procedure, which happens usually due to the economic crisis, that leaves most of the projects on pause for an unknown notice.



# FINISHING MATERIAL LIST (FACADE + ROOF)

- 1. Hangar (RED BRICK + OXIDIZED STEEL ROOFING)
- 2. Interactive Hangar (RED BRICK + CERAMIC TILE ROOFING)
- 3. Administrative + Education Mass (BLACK BRICK CLADDING + CERAMIC TILE ROOFING)
- 4. Entertainment + Relaxing Mass (BLACK BRICK CLADDING + CERAMIC TILE ROOFING)



D

### **CREATE PM OFFICE**

Create an office for the PM on construction site



### **ON-SITE WORKSHOP**

Create on-site construction and fabrication workshop area.

### MACHINERY PARKING

Having on-construction-site parking for the construction machinery and vehicles.

### **EXISTING BARRACKS**

Integrate the existing barrack into the two new structures and merge them with the same finishing cladding



### **STAFF ROOMS**

Having staff rooms, dedicated Waterclosets and a gaurd room on construction site.



### HANGARS' RENOVATION + RE-MODELLING

Renovate the both Hangars and rebuild the roof of Building A. And remodel both Hangars' facades.







# **FUNCTIONAL SCHEMA**



### Investment cost

	Cost Groups	Estimated Cost (EUR)	Estimated Percentage
100	Plot	€ 779,793.36	24%
200	Infrastructure	€ 324,913.90	10%
300	Building Construction	€ 3,249,139.00	100%
400	Building Installations (Power + Sanitation)	€ 3,249,139.00	100%
500	Outdoor Constructions (Landscaping)	€ 1,137,198.65	35%
600	Installations and Furnishing	€ 324,913.90	10%
700	Additional Expenses	€ 487,370.85	15%
	Total Amount	€ 6,303,329.66	194%

# Cost Groups 310 Earthwork 310Earthwork320Foundation330External walls340Interior walls350Roof360Floors (slabs)370Built-in appliances380Other **Total Amount**

### Building Construction + Installation cost

Building A Floor Area Average	400	Price	€ 500.00
Building A Total Area	400	Total Amount	€ 200,000.00
Building A Floor Count	1		

Building B Floor Area Average	690	Price	€ 500.00
Building B Total Area	690	Total Amount	€ 345,000.00
Building B Floor Count	1		

Building C Floor Area Average	500	Price	€ 872.30
Building C Total Area	1000	Total Amount	€ 872,300.00
Building C Floor Count	2		

Building D Floor Area Average	700	Price	€ 872.30
Building D Total Area	2100	Total Amount	€ 1,831,830.00
Building D Floor Count	3		

Building Installations	€ 909,758.92	28%
Building Construction	€ 2,339,380.08	72%

### Construction Costs (Group 400)

	Cost Groups	Estimated Cost (EUR)	Estimated Percentage
410	Water, Sewage, Gas	€ 27,292.77	3%
420	HVAC	€ 72,780.71	8%
430	Electricity	€ 45,487.95	5%
440	Telecommunication and Information Technology	€ 25,473.25	3%
450	Transportation equipment	€ 18,195.18	2%
460	Technology equipment	€ 27,292.77	3%
470	Integrated building management and service management	€ 29,112.29	3%
<b>490</b>	Other	€ 9,097.59	1%
	Total Cost	€ 909,758.92	28%

### Construction Costs (Group 300)

Estimated Cost (EUR)	Estimated Percentage
€ 70,181.40	3%
€ 327,513.21	14%
€ 397,694.61	17%
€ 116,969.00	5%
€ 304,119.41	13%
€ 233,938.01	10%
€ 140,362.80	6%
€ 93,575.20	4%
€ 2,339,380.08	72%







# TIMELINE METHOD

	January	March	May	July	September	November	January	March
Start			·	·	·	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
Wed 1/1/20								



Finish Fri 7/30/21

# **GANTT CHART OF THE DETAILED ACTIVITIES**

ID	Task Name		Duration	Start	Finish	D	Half 1, 202	0 F M A	M J	Half 2, 2020	0 N	Half 1, 2021	M A M J	Half 2, 2021
1	Project Initiation Phase -	Research Phase	97 days	Wed 1/1/20	Thu 5/14/20					J A J	0 1			J
2	Project's Ideas and Brai		-		Wed 1/8/20									
3	Real Estate Developme				Mon 2/17/20									
4	Formulating the AIM				Wed 3/4/20									
5	Defining Participants				Thu 5/14/20									
6	Code + Design Guidelin	es Review			Tue 3/24/20									
7	Project Organisation			Wed 3/25/20										
8	Project Introduction + Pre	liminary Breif	•		Thu 8/13/20					<b>_</b> _				
9	Clients or Consultants N				Fri 6/12/20									
	Introduction	<b>c</b>	-											
10	Functional Program Int	roduction	28 days	Mon 6/15/20	Wed 7/22/20				*					
11	Precedent Study + Moc	d Board Preparation	14 days	Thu 7/23/20	Thu 8/13/20									
12	Project Proposal + Prelim	inary Analysis	28 days	Thu 7/23/20	Mon 8/31/20	)				<b></b>				
13	Case Study + Project Ar	alysis	20 days	Thu 7/23/20	Wed 8/19/20									
14	Detailed Brief		28 days	Thu 7/23/20	Mon 8/31/20									
15	Feasibility study		122 days	Tue 9/1/20	Wed 2/17/21					<b>_</b>				
16	Analysis of the Existing	Samples	10 days	Tue 9/1/20	Mon 9/14/20					<b>—</b>				
17	Site Analysis		32 days	Tue 9/15/20	Wed 10/28/2	0								
18	Problem Finding + Te	chnical Constraints	10 days	Tue 9/15/20	Mon 9/28/20					_				
19	Legal Circumstances		20 days	Tue 9/15/20	Mon 10/12/2	0								
20	Functional - Technolo	ogical Analysis	12 days	Tue 10/13/20	Wed 10/28/2	0								
21	Architectural Program		30 days	Thu 10/29/20	Wed 12/9/20	)								
22	Detailed Architectura	al Program	30 days	Thu 10/29/20	Wed 12/9/20									
23	Functional Layouts (	Concept Phase)	20 days	Thu 10/29/20	Wed 11/25/2	0						ſ		
24	Circulation Analysis		10 days	Thu 11/26/20	Wed 12/9/20									
25	Cost + Time Manageme	ent	50 days	Thu 12/10/20	Wed 2/17/21								]	
26	Legal Analysis		15 days	Thu 12/10/20	Wed 12/30/2	0								
27	Cost estimation		20 days	Thu 12/31/20	Wed 1/27/21									
28	Budget Analysis and	Prediction of Applicability	5 days	Thu 1/28/21	Wed 2/3/21									
29	Feedback		10 days	Thu 2/4/21	Wed 2/17/21									
30	Time Estimation		25 days	Thu 1/14/21	Wed 2/17/21									
31	Attainning Building Perm	t	35 days	Thu 2/18/21	Wed 4/7/21							<b>•</b>		
32	Building Permit Drawin	B	20 days	Thu 2/18/21	Wed 3/17/21									
33	Manucipality Approval		15 days	Thu 3/18/21	Wed 4/7/21									
34	Tendering + Bidding		82 days	Thu 4/8/21	Fri 7/30/21								<b>V</b>	
35	Setting out a Process fo	r Tendering + Bidding	20 days	Thu 4/8/21	Wed 5/5/21									
36	Finalizing Documents a	nd Tender	15 days	Thu 5/6/21	Wed 5/26/21									
37	Create a Tenderers-Invi	tation	5 days	Thu 5/27/21	Wed 6/2/21									
38	<b>Respond to Tenderers</b>		12 days	Thu 5/27/21	Fri 6/11/21									
39	Evaluatiion and Selecti	on	21 days	Mon 6/14/21	Mon 7/12/21									
40	Notification and Debrie	efing	7 days	Tue 7/13/21	Wed 7/21/21									
41	Agreement / Contractir	g	7 days	Thu 7/22/21	Fri 7/30/21									
42	Agreement Signing		7 days	Thu 7/22/21	Fri 7/30/21									
		Task		External Ta	ckc -			nual Task		Finish-only	3	Progross		
										-	_ _	Progress		
Proie	ct: Project2							ation-only		Deadline	*	Slippage		
-	Wed 5/22/19	Milestone		Inactive Tas				nual Summary Rollu	ρ	Baseline				
		Summary		Inactive Mi	lestone	$\geq$	Mai	nual Summary		Baseline Milestone	$\diamond$			
					_	-			-					

	Task		External Tasks		Manual Task		Finish-only	2	Progress
	Split		External Milestone		Duration-only		Deadline	÷	Slippage
Project: Project2 Date: Wed 5/22/19	Milestone	•	Inactive Task		Manual Summary Rollup		Baseline		
	Summary	<b>—</b> — <b>—</b>	Inactive Milestone	$\diamond$	Manual Summary	<b>~~~~~</b>	Baseline Milestone	$\diamond$	
	Project Summary	<b>—</b> ———————————————————————————————————	Inactive Summary	$\bigtriangledown$	Start-only	C	Baseline Summary		

**ANNEX E** HAR SUBMISSIONS THROUGHOUT THE 2019 WINTER SEMESTER AT BME











March, 4, 2019, Concept Submission



April, 15, 2019, Preliminary Submission (Above) May, 23, 2019 Final Submission (Right)



DELOVAN DELAWER DR. SCHRAMMEL ZOLTAN, MR. GREDICS GYULA 24 MRY 19

# REFERENCES

- ArchDaily. (2019). Cannes Airport / COMTE et VOLLENWEIDER Architectes. [online] Available at: https://www.archdaily.com/634966/cannes-airport-comte-et-vollenweiderarchitectes [Accessed 18 Apr. 2019].
- ArchDaily. (2019). Eagle Copters / Nicolás Lipthay | L2C. [online] Available at: https:// • www.archdaily.com/81054/eagle-copters-nicolas-lipthay-l2c [Accessed 18 Apr. 2019].
- ArchDaily. (2019). Nike Air Hangar / TVA Architects. [online] Available at: https://www. archdaily.com/160459/nike-air-hangar-tva-architects [Accessed 18 Apr. 2019].
- ArchDaily. (2019). Pedra Da Ra Lookout Point / Carlos Seoane. [online] Available at: https://www.archdaily.com/875548/mirador-pedra-da-ra-carlos-seone [Accessed 18 Apr. 2019].
- ArchDaily. (2019). The Light Box / Rohan Chavan. [online] Available at: https://www. archdaily.com/792129/the-light-box-rohan-chavan [Accessed 18 Apr. 2019].
- Enyedi, G. (1971). Geographical research institute of the Hungarian academy of sciences. Geoforum, 2(2), pp.84-85.
- Equitone.com. (2019). Equitone. [online] Available at: https://www.equitone.com/ [Accessed 18 Apr. 2019].
- Google Maps. (2019). Google Maps. [online] Available at: https://www.google.com/maps [Accessed 18 Apr. 2019].
- Landezine.com. (2019). linear-park-in-steep-slope « Landscape Architecture Platform | Landezine. [online] Available at: http://www.landezine.com/index.php/2014/02/ urbanization-cami-dels-corrals-santamaria-arguitectes/linear-park-in-steep-slope/ [Accessed 18 Apr. 2019].
- Neufert, E., Neufert, P. and Kister, J. (2012). Neufert. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Rapidmaterials.com. (2019). Equitone Fiber Cement Rainscreen | RapidMaterials. [online] Available at: https://www.rapidmaterials.com/Equitone-Fiber-Cement-Wall-Cladding-Facade-Panels [Accessed 18 Apr. 2019].
- Suncalc.net. (2019). SunCalc sun position and sunlight phases calculator. [online] Available at: http://suncalc.net/#/47.5,19.083,12 [Accessed 18 Apr. 2019].
- weather, 7., weather, 1., weather, C., maps, W., (New), W., forecast, T., Sounding, S., quality, A., ensemble, M., seeing, A., forecast, S., Climate, A., archive, W., observed, C., climate, C., comparison, C., verification, S., overview, P., download, D., comparison,

Y., rose, W., assessment, R., app, A., app, i., 3h, W., Day, W., Seeing, W., reports, W., releases, P., Climate, A. and Zalaegerszeg, C. (2019). Climate Zalaegerszeg. [online] meteoblue. Available at: https://www.meteoblue.com/en/weather/forecast/ modelclimate/zalaegerszeg\_hungary\_3042638 [Accessed 18 Apr. 2019].

- WeLoveBudapest EN. (2019). Sneak Peak: a new lookout tower is opening atop Hármashatár Hill | WeLoveBudapest EN. [online] Available at: https://welovebudapest. com/en/2016/04/01/sneak-peak-a-new-lookout-tower-is-opening-atop-harmashatarhill/ [Accessed 18 Apr. 2019].
- Burnett, C. Blaschk e, T. (2003): A multi-scale segmentation/object relationship modelling methodology for landscape analysis. Ecological Modelling 168 (2003): 233 -249.
- KSH 2011: Gazetteer of the Republic of Hungary, Hungarian Central Statistical Office, Budapest, Hungary. Online: http://portal.ksh.hu/docs/hun/hnk/hnk 2011.pdf
- Urban Atlas (2010): online: http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/urban-atlas
- Building Science Corporation. (2019). Building Science Corporation. [online] Available at: https://www.buildingscience.com [Accessed 16 May 2019].
- Energocell. (2019). Energocell. [online] Available at: https://www.energocell.hu/en [Accessed 16 May 2019].
- Kone.in. (2019). KONE A MonoSpace®. [online] Available at: https://www.kone.in/newbuildings/elevators/amonospace.aspx [Accessed 19 May 2019].
- LHHH. [online] Available at: www.hungaryairport.hu/airport\_data.php?id=18 [Accessed 19 May 2019].
- Photo Archive of Public Building Design at the Faculty of Architecture at BME.
- TESLA [online] Available at: www.tesla.com [Accessed 19 May 2019].



Vasarhelyi Pal Utca 2-4, 1114, Budapest, Hungary +36 (20) 432 1267 delovandelawer@gmx.us be.net/DelovanDelawer

